International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation Vol. 4 No. 2 (December, 2018)

LJ KALAHARI JOURNALS

Optimal Control of Discrete Volterra System - a Classical Approach

Trupti P. Shah(⊠)^b, Raju K. George¹

^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Technology and Engineering, M.S.University of Baroda, Vadodara 390 001, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology (IIST), ISRO P.O. Trivandrum, 695022, India.

Received: 14th June 2017 Revised: 17th August 2017 Accepted: 21st March 2018

ABSTRACT

In this paper, optimal control problem described by discrete-time linear Volterra system is studied by the conventional minimization method of Lagrange multipliers.

Keywords: Discrete-time system; Controllability; Optimal control.

© 2014, Darbose. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The problem of optimal control for discrete Volterra system have received a great deal of attention and have attracted many researchers. Gaishun and Dymkov [5] studied linear-quadratic optimization and feedback control problems for linear discrete Volterra system using operator approach. Belbas and Schmidt [8] studied an optimal control problem for a system governed by a Volterra integral equation with impulsive terms. Here we adapt independent approach of classical minimization technique called method of Lagrangian multipliers to find the optimal control of the following linear Volterra system.

$$x(t+1) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} A(i)x(t-i) + Bu(t), \ t \in N_0$$
(0.1)

where A(i)'s, i = 0, 1, ...t are $n \times n$ nonsingular matrices and B is $n \times m$ matrix. We consider a quadratic performance index for the finite time process $(0 \le t \le N)$ as

$$J = \frac{1}{2}x^*(N)Sx(N) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left[x^*(t)Qx(t) + u^*(t)Ru(t)\right]$$
(0.2)

where S, Q are $n \times n$ positive definite or positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices (or real symmetric matrices). R is an $m \times m$ positive definite Hermitian or real symmetric matrix.

We find a controller which minimizes J as given by equation (0.2), when it is subjected to the constraint equation specified by (0.1) and when initial condition on state vector is specified as

$$x(0) = c. ag{0.3}$$

 $[\]boxtimes$ Corresponding author.

1. Solution by the Classical Minimization Method using Lagrange Multipliers

We minimize J defined by

$$J = \frac{1}{2}x^*(N)Sx(N) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left[x^*(t)Qx(t) + u^*(t)Ru(t)\right]$$

subjected to the constraint equation

$$x(t+1) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} A_i x(t-i) + Bu(t), \ t \in N_0$$

where t = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1, and when initial condition on state vector is specified as

$$x(0) = c$$

Now by using a set of Lagrange multipliers $\lambda(1), \lambda(2), ...\lambda(N), \lambda(i)'s \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for i = 1, 2, ..., N, we define a new performance index L as follows.

$$L = \frac{1}{2}x^{*}(N)Sx(N) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \left[x^{*}(t)Qx(t) + u^{*}(t)Ru(t) \right] + \lambda^{*}(t+1) \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t} A_{i}x(t-i) + Bu(t) - x(t+1) \right] + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t} A_{i}x(t-i) + Bu(t) - x(t+1) \right]^{*}\lambda(t+1) \right\}$$

$$(1.1)$$

Obviously $L = L^*$.

To minimize the functional L, we differentiate L with respect to each component of vectors x(t), u(t) and $\lambda(t)$ and set the results equal to zero. i.e.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x(t)} &= 0, \ t = 1, 2, ..., N\\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial u(t)} &= 0, \ t = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1\\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda(t)} &= 0, \ t = 1, 2, ..., N \end{split}$$

Using the following equalities (refer Ogata [7], page 670),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x^*Ax = 2Ax, \quad if \ A = A^*,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x^*Ay = Ay$$

we obtain the following partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x(t)} = 0$$

This implies that

$$Qx(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-t-1} A^*(i)\lambda(t+i+1) - \lambda(t) = 0 , t = 1, 2, ..., N-1$$
(1.2)

Now

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x(N)} = 0$$

implies

$$Sx(N) - \lambda(N) = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

Similarly

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial u(t)} = 0$

 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda(t)} = 0$

 \Rightarrow

$$Ru(t) + B^*\lambda(t+1) = 0$$
(1.4)

 \Rightarrow

$$\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} A(i)x(t-i-1) + Bu(t-1) - x(t) = 0$$
(1.5)

Equation (1.5) is simply the system state equation. Equation (1.3) specifies the final value of the Lagrange multiplier.

Now we shall simplify the equations just obtained. From Equation (1.2), we have

$$\lambda(t) = Qx(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-t-1} A^*(i)\lambda(t+i+1), \quad t = 1, 2, ..., N-1$$
(1.6)

with the final condition $\lambda(N) = Sx(N)$. By solving (1.4) for u(t) and noting that R^{-1} exists, we obtain,

$$u(t) = -R^{-1}B^*\lambda(t+1), t = 0, 1, ..., N-1$$
(1.7)

Equation (1.5) can be rewritten as

$$x(t+1) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} A(i)x(t-i) + Bu(t), t = 0, 1, ..., N - 1$$
(1.8)

This is the state equation. Substitution of (1.7) into (1.8) results in

$$x(t+1) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} A(i)x(t-i) - BR^{-1}B^*\lambda(t+1), t = 0, 1, ..., N-1$$
(1.9)

with the initial condition x(0) = c.

To obtain the solution to the minimization problem, we need to solve (1.6) and (1.9) simultaneously. Note that for the system (1.9), the initial condition x(0) is specified, while for the Lagrange multiplier equation (1.6), the final condition $\lambda(N)$ is specified. Thus,

$$u(t) = -R^{-1}B^*\lambda(t+1), t = 0, 1, ..., N-1$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is solution of boundary value problem. Hence we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. The minimization problem for (0.1), (0.2) has a optimal solution given by

$$u(t) = -R^{-1}B^*\lambda(t+1), t = 0, 1, ..., N-1$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is the solution of the boundary value problem (1.6), (1.9).

References

- Shah T.P., George R.K., Controllability of Discrete Volterra Systems, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 3, NO. 3, pp. 206-215 (2011).
- [2] Trupti P. Shah, Raju K. George Asymptotic equivalence of Discrete Volterra Systems Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society, (T. Pati Memorial Volume) Vol. 25, Part 1, pp. 125-135 (2010).
- [3] R.K.George, T.P.Shah, Asymptotic Stability of Nonlinear Discrete Dynamical Systems Involving (sp) matrix, Nonlinear Studies, Vol. 16, Part 1, pp. 23-29(2009).
- [4] Raju K. George, Trupti P.Shah, Steering Control of Semi-linear Discrete Dynamical System, Journal of the Indian Institute of Science, vol. 87, No. 4, pp.485-489 (2007).
- [5] Gaishun, I. V., Dymkov, M. P., Controllability of Systems Described by Linear Discrete Volterra Equations, (Russian) Avtomat. i Telemekh., no. 7, pp. 88–100 (2000); translation in Autom. Remote Control 61, no. 7, part 2, pp. 1143–1154 (2000).
- [6] F. Callier, C. Desoer., Linear System Theory, Narosa Publishing House, 1992.
- [7] K. Ogata, Discrete-Time Control Systems, Second Edition, Pearson Education, Inc., 1995.
- [8] S.A.Belbas, W.H.Schmidt, Optimal Control of Volterra equations with impulses, Applied Mathematics and Computation 166, pp. 696-723(2005).
- [9] S.A.Belbas, A new method for optimal control of Volterra integral equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation 189, pp. 1902-1915 (2007).