

Univalent Analytic Functions With Negative Coefficients Of Complex Order Defined By Geganbauer Polynomial

Pasunoori Srinivasulu

Department of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad-500033. Telangana, India.
E.mail: srinivasrgukt1203@gmail.com

V.Srinivas

Department of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad-500033. Telangana, India.

Abstract:

In this paper we define a new class of functions $M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \nu, t)$ where functions in this class satisfy the condition $1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(G_{\nu,t}f(z))'}{G_{\nu,t}f(z)} - 1 \right\} \prec (1-\lambda) \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} + \lambda$, ($w(z) \in E$). where \prec denotes subordination, b is any non zero complex number, A and B are the arbitrary constants $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$), $t \in [-1, 1]$ and $\nu \geq 0$. Coefficient estimates, growth and distortion theorems for this class of functions are found. Radii of convexity, starlikeness, close-to-convexity and convex linear combinations are obtained for this class also.

Keywords: Analytic, Starlike Convex, Subordination, Distortion.

2010 subject Classification: 30 C45.

1. Introduction:

Let A denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

A function f in the class A is said to be in the class $ST(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order α in E , if it satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1), \quad (z \in E) \quad (1.2)$$

Note that $ST(0) = ST$ is the class of starlike functions.

Denote by T the subclass of A consisting of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0). \quad (1.3)$$

This subclass was introduced and extensively studied by Silverman [6].

The class $T(\nu)$, $\nu \geq 0$ were introduced and investigated by Szynal [10] as the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \int_{-1}^1 k(z,t) d\mu(t). \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$k(z,t) = \frac{z}{(1-2tz+z^2)^\nu} \quad t \in [-1,1], (z \in E). \quad (1.5)$$

And μ is a probability measure on the interval $[-1,1]$. The collection of such measure on $[a,b]$ is denoted by $P_{[a,b]}$.

The Taylor series expansion of the function in (1.5) gives

$$k(z,t) = z + c_1^\nu(t)z^2 + c_2^\nu(t)z^3 + \dots \quad (1.6)$$

And the coefficients for (1.6) were given below:

$$c_0^\nu(t) = 1, \quad c_1^\nu(t) = 2\nu t, \quad c_2^\nu(t) = 2\nu(\nu+1)t^2 - \nu, \quad c_3^\nu(t) = \frac{4}{3}\nu(\nu+1)(\nu+2)t^3 - 2\nu(\nu+1)t, \dots \quad (1.7)$$

Where $c_n^\nu(t)$ denotes the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree n . Varying the parameter ν in (1.6), we obtain the class of typically real functions studied by [1], [4], [5], [9] and [12].

For $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, the Hadamard product of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad (z \in E).$$

Let $G_{\nu,t} : A \rightarrow A$ defined in terms of the convolution by

$$G_{\nu,t} f(z) = k(z,t) * f(z), \quad \text{We have} \quad G_{\nu,t} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega_{n-1}^\nu a_n z^n, \quad (1.8)$$

In this paper we define a new class of functions $M_\lambda^b(A, B, \nu, t)$ where functions in this class satisfy the condition

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \frac{z(G_{\nu,t} f(z))'}{G_{\nu,t} f(z)} - 1 \right\} \prec (1-\lambda) \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} + \lambda, \quad (w(z) \in E). \quad (1.9)$$

where \prec denotes subordination, b is any non zero complex number, A and B are the arbitrary constants $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$), $t \in [-1,1]$ and $\nu \geq 0$. Coefficient estimates growth and distortion theorems, radii of convexity, starlikeness, close-to-convexity and convex linear combinations are obtained for this class.

2. Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 1. A necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f \in T$ to be in the

$$\text{class } f \in M_\lambda^b(A, B, \nu, t) \text{ is } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|] \omega_{n-1}^\nu(t) |a_n| \leq |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) \quad (1.10)$$

Proof. By definition of subordination, we can write (1.9) as

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(G_{\nu,t} f(z))'}{G_{\nu,t} f(z)} - 1 \right) = (1-\lambda) \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} + \lambda, \quad (w(z) \in E).$$

which gives

$$\left(\frac{z(G_{v,t}f(z))'}{G_{v,t}f(z)} - 1\right) = \left[b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\left(\frac{z(G_{v,t}f(z))'}{G_{v,t}f(z)} - 1\right) \right] w(z) \quad (1.11)$$

From (1.11), we obtain

$$\frac{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n} - 1 = \left[b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\left(\frac{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}\right) \right] w(z)$$

which yields

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} -(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n} = \left[b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\left(\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} -(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}{z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}\right) \right] w(z)$$

Since $|w(z)| < 1$,

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} -(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n \right| \leq \left| b(A-B)(1-\lambda)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)]\omega_{n-1}^v(t)z^n \right|$$

Letting $|z| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^v(t)|a_n| \leq |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda).$$

Conversely, let (1.10) be true. From (1.11), we see that $|w(z)| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(G_{v,t}f(z))' - G_{v,t}f(z)}{b(A-B)(1-\lambda)G_{v,t}f(z) - Bz(G_{v,t}J_{\alpha}f(z))' - G_{v,t}f(z)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} -(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}{b(A-B)(1-\lambda)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n]} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Then, we need to prove that (1.12) is true. By applying the hypothesis (1.10) and letting $|z| \rightarrow 1$, we find that

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} -(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n}{b(A-B)(1-\lambda)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)\omega_{n-1}^v(t)a_n z^n]} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)|a_n|}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)|a_n|} \\ & \leq \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)|a_n|}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)|a_n|} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find that (18) is true. Therefore $f \in M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \nu, t)$.

Our assertion in Theorem 1 is sharp for functions of the form

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{(1+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B|)\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} z^n. \quad (1.13)$$

3. Distortion Theorems

Theorem 2. If $f \in M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \nu, t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & r - r^2 \left\{ \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{[1+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \leq |f(z)| \\ & \leq r + r^2 \left\{ \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{[1+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

with the equality for

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{(1+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B|)\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} z^2.$$

Proof. From (1.10), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)|a_n| \leq |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda).$$

This implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \left\{ \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{[1+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \quad (1.15)$$

From (1.10) and (1.15) it follows that

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^n \geq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \geq r - r^2 \left\{ \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{[1+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\}$$

In the same manner,

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq r + r^2 \left\{ \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{\left[1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\right] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\}$$

Hence the theorem.

Theorem 3. If $f \in M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \nu, t)$ then

$$1-r \left\{ \frac{2|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{\left[1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\right] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1+r \left\{ \frac{2|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{\left[1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\right] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \quad (1.16).$$

with equality for

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{(1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B) \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} z^2$$

Proof. By (1.15), we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq \left\{ \frac{2|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{\left[1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\right] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\}. \quad (1.17)$$

From (1.17), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| |z|^{n-1} \geq 1 - r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \\ &\geq 1 - r \left\{ \frac{2|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{\left[1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\right] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| |z|^{n-1} \leq 1 + r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \\ &\leq 1 + r \left\{ \frac{2|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{\left[1 + |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B\right] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

4. Radii of Close-to-Convexity, Starlikeness and Convexity

A function $f \in T$ is said to be close-to-convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), if

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\} > \delta, \quad (1.18)$$

for all $z \in E$.

A function $f \in T$ is said to be starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \delta. \quad (1.19)$$

A function $f \in T$ is said to be convex of order $\delta (0 \leq \delta < 1)$ if and only if $zf'(z)$ is starlike of order δ that is if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \delta. \quad (1.20)$$

Theorem 4. If $f \in M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \alpha)$, then f is close-to-convexity of order δ in $|z_1| < r_1(A, B, b, \alpha, \delta, \lambda)$, where

$$r_1(A, B, b, \alpha, \delta, \lambda) = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left[\frac{(1-\delta)((n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|)\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{n|b|(A-B)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

The result is sharp for the function $f_n(z)$ given by (1.13).

Proof. It is sufficient to sufficient to show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|z^n \leq 1 - \delta. \quad (1.21)$$

By (1.10), we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)|a_n| \leq |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) \quad (1.22)$$

observing that (1.21) is true, for fixed n , if

$$\frac{n|z^n|}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{[(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \quad (1.23)$$

solving (1.23) for $|z|$, we obtain

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{n|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Theorem 5. If $f \in M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \nu, t)$, then f is starlike of order δ in $r_2(A, B, b, \alpha, \delta, \lambda)$ where

$$r_2(A, B, b, \alpha, \delta, \lambda) = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{|b|(n+1-\delta)(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

The result is sharp for the function $f_n(z)$ is given by (1.13).

Proof. We must show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+2)a_n z^n}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n} \leq 1 - \delta. \quad (1.24)$$

We see from (1.22) that (1.24) is true if

$$\frac{(n+1-\delta)|z|^n}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{[(n-1)+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \quad (1.25)$$

solving (1.25) for $|z|$, we obtain

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-1)+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{|b|(n+1-\delta)(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \right\}^{1/n}.$$

Hence the theorem proved.

Theorem 6. If $f \in M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \nu, t)$, then f is convex of order δ in $|z| < r_3(A, B, b, \alpha, \lambda)$ where

$$r_3(A, B, b, \alpha, \lambda) = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-1)+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{n|b|(n-\delta)(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \right\}^{1/n}$$

The result is sharp for the function $f_n(z)$ is given by (1.13).

Proof. We must show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^n}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^n} \leq 1 - \delta \quad (1.26)$$

From (1.22), we see that (1.26) is true if

$$\frac{n(n-\delta)|z|^n}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{[(n-1)+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \quad (1.27)$$

Solving (1.27) for $|z|$, we obtain

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(n-1)+|b(A-B)(1-\lambda)-B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t)}{n|b|(n-\delta)(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \right\}^{1/n}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

5. Convex Linear Combination

We give the result of convex linear combinations as follows:

Theorem 7. Let

$$f_1(z) = z \quad (1.28)$$

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{((n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|)\omega_{n-1}^\nu(t)} z^n, \quad n \geq 2 \quad (1.29).$$

Then $f \in M_\lambda^b(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \quad (1.30)$$

$$\lambda_n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1.$$

Proof. From (1.30), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \quad (1.31) \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{((n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|)\omega_{n-1}^\nu(t)} z^n \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^\nu(t)}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)} \\ &\times \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)\lambda_n}{((n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|)\omega_{n-1}^\nu(t)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that the function $f \in M_\lambda^b(A, B, \alpha)$.

Conversely, let us suppose that $f \in M_\lambda^b(A, B, \nu, t)$.

Since

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)}{((n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|)\omega_{n-1}^\nu(t)}, \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Setting

$$\lambda_n = \frac{[(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|]\omega_{n-1}^\nu(t)}{|b|(A-B)(1-\lambda)} a_n, \quad (n \geq 2)$$

And $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n$.

It follows that $f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 8. The class $M_\lambda^b(A, B, \nu, t)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

Proof. Suppose the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ defined by

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, \quad (a_{n,j} \geq 0, j=1,2; z \in E) \quad (1.32)$$

Are in the class $M_{\lambda}^b(A, B, \alpha)$. Setting

$$f(z) = \mu f_1(z) + (1-\mu)f_2(z), \quad (0 \leq \mu \leq 1).$$

We find from (1.27) that

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\mu a_{n,1} + (1-\mu)a_{n,2}] z^n, \quad (0 \leq \mu \leq 1).$$

In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t) [\mu a_{n,1} + (1-\mu)a_{n,2}] \\ &= \mu \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t) a_{n,1} \\ & \quad + (1-\mu) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-1) + |b(A-B)(1-\lambda) - B(n-1)|] \omega_{n-1}^{\nu}(t) a_{n,2} \\ & \leq \mu |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) + (1-\mu) |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) = |b|(A-B)(1-\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

1. P.L. Duren, Univalent Functions, A series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, vol.259, Springer, New York 1983.
2. J.E. Littlewood, On inequalities in the theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 23(2), 481-519, 1925.
3. G. Murugusundarmoorthy and N. Magesh, Certain sub-classes of starlike functions of complex order involving generalized hypergeometric functions. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., art ID 178605, 12, 2010.
4. C. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
5. G. Schober, Univalent Functions, Selected topics Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 478, Springer, New York, 1975.
6. H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51, 109-116, 1975.
7. H. Silverman, A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficient are negative, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 21(3), 1099-1125, 1991.
8. H. Silverman, Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficient, Houston J. Math., 23(1), 169-174, 1997.
9. M. Sobczak-Knec and P. Zaprawa, Covering domains for classes of functions with real coefficients, Complex var. Elliptic Equ. 52(6), 519-535, 2007.
10. J. Szynal, An extension of typically real functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sect. A. 48, 193-201, 1994.
11. P. Zaprawa, M. Figiel, and A. Futa, On coefficients problems for typically real functions related to gegenbauer polynomials, Mediterr. J. Math., 14(2), 1-12, 2017.
12. B. Venkateswarlu, P. Thirupathi Reddy, S. Sridevi, and Sujatha, A certain subclass of analytic functions with Negative coefficients defined by Gegenbauer polynomials, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 78 (2021), 73-84.