# INFLUENCE OF RESOLUTION ON SURFACE ROUGHNESS DURING CO<sub>2</sub> LASER BEAM MACHINING

ARIF VARSI<sup>1\*</sup>, AKSHAY GUPTA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mechanical Department, S. N. Patel Institute of Technology & Research Centre, Gujarat, India,

<sup>2</sup>Computer Science & Engineering, S. N. Patel Institute of Technology & Research Centre, Gujarat, India,

# ABSTRACT

 $CO_2$  laser being a localized non-contact type machining process depends on the thermal and mechanical properties of a material to a great extent. The present work deals with the study of the variation of process parameters during circular pocket machining on polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) by  $CO_2$  laser (0-100W). The parameters selected are beam power, scanning speed and resolution. Experimental results are obtained at 10 mm thick plate by varying process parameters. An attempt is made to analyse the variation of surface roughness as a function of process parameters such as power, speed and resolution (dpi).

KEYWORDS: CO<sub>2</sub> Laser, Power, Speed, Resolution, Surface Roughness

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

LASER is an acronym for Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. Laser beam machining is a non-traditional thermal process based on the conversion of electrical energy into light energy and then thermal energy [16]. Lasersare widely used in manufacturing industry such as cutting, drilling, welding, cladding, soldering (brazing), hardening, ablating, surface treatment, marking, engraving, micromachining, pulsed laser deposition, lithography, alignment etc.In each and every application, the major requirement is the excellent quality characteristics in terms of topography and surface roughness. Surface roughness or waviness is the random and repetitive deviation from the normal surface. The various parameters used for the evaluation of surface roughness are shown in Fig. 1. Lamikiz et al [1] studied a method for the surface finish for the parts made using SLS method where 80.1% surface reduction was achieved. Metallurgical analyses show that the heat affected zones do not present cracks or porosity. It was concluded that laser affected areas present a more homogeneous composition than the initial ones.Pfefferkorn et al. [3] studied a two-pass process where the first pass thermocapillary flow is made use to reduce the surface roughness whereas in the second pass the unconsumed process features are discarded.



Figure 1 Term used Roughness measurement [16]

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 4797

Bhaduri et al [7] studied the experimental analysis of the oxidation on metal surface using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy. A surface reduction rate of 94% is obtained using laser polishing. Fengzhou FANG [9] shows that the diffraction limit of the laser beam is one of the other major challenges indulged with laser polishing process. When the diffraction limit is less, the resolution of the beam hitting on the metal surface will be less. Thus, in order to polish metal surface more beams would be needed where in turn results in high power consumption rate. Ismail Bilicana [11] studied the PMMA ablation consisting of two steps of which the second one occurs at higher temperature. Since the laser beam cannot ablate the whole material at the mentioned speed and power settings, there leave some material at liquid state after laser passes which resolidify later. Hence the remaining surface becomes planer then before ablation. Benoit Rosa [12] adopted afive-pass strategy with 100W power and 3000 mm/min feed rate. As a result, the surface was smoothed after laser polishing process and no geometrical deviation was highlighted. The decrease of energy density enables to eliminate the geometrical deviation but increases final surface roughness. Shashi Prakash, SubrataKumar [20] developed and validated an energy balance based analytical model with experimental results for predicting microchannels profiles on PMMA substrate in multi-pass processing. Multi-Pass processing was found to be time and cost-effective method for producing smooth microchannels on PMMA. Cong Zhou et al. [23] shows that the surface quality obtained by picoseconds laser cleaning is much better than nanosecond laser cleaning. In addition, the welding experiment shows that the laser cleaning pre-treatment can effectively improve the welding quality. Andre Temler et al [28] observed that a laser-based process chain is a possible solution to increase precision and reduce production time in optics manufacturing of components with complex shaped surfaces. Tianhao Wu et al [38] observed that the multi-pass translational method not only produces a clean bottom like the static multi-pass method but can modify the cross-sectional topography to produce a clean trapezoidal micro-channel. Chao Tan et al [39] concluded that material's removal depth was proportional to the track pitch and spot moving speed. The periodic ripple structure on the surface processed by 10µs pulsed laser were formed by the superposition of materials' residual height during laser scanning.

Looking to the intense literature carried out in the direction of assessment of surface roughness during laser beam machining, a very few works has been observed for the influence of resolution (dpi) on the surface quality along-with power and speed as process parameters. Hence, the present work deals with an attempt to study the influence of process parameters such as power, speed and resolution on the surface roughness on PMMA. Also, a statistical model is developed to establish the relation between input parameters and surface roughness which will serve as a base for carrying out roughness estimation with the given parameters.

# 2. EXPERIMENTATION AND MEASUREMENTS

Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) or acrylic is used as a work piece material. Acrylic is a transparent thermoplastic material with outstanding strength, stiffness, optical clarity, melting and boiling properties. This material has good capacity of to absorb  $CO_2$  laser radiation incident on it.Cylindrical cavities of 10 mm diameter were machined on the material with the help of 100 W  $CO_2$  laser (Model: SIL) as shown in Fig. 2.



# Figure2 Experimental setup

Honeycomb table shown in Fig. 2 is an ideal option designed for straightness and flatness for cutting or engraving thin and soft materials as it offers a very flat working area. It also redirects exhaust air flow below and above the material for better smoke removal and dissipates the heat. Auto Focus gauge adjusts the laser engraver to the precise focal point on the engraving area automatically. The Auto Focus is the most effective lens focusing tool that prevents human error when exact measurement of distance from the surface of the working material is needed. The process parameters selected for machining cylindrical blind cavities on PMMA were laser power, scanning speed and resolution as shown in Table 1.Using the concept of full factorial methodology, experiments were carried out on PMMA and the machined specimen appears as shown in Fig. 3.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

Table 1 Range of parameters					
Power in W	25	50	75		
Speed in mm/s	100	200	300		
Resolution in dpi	100	200	300		



Figure 3 Machined specimen

The measurement of surface roughness was carried out on the machined cavities using stylus-tip type surface roughness tester. The working schematic as well as measurement process is shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 respectively. With contact type roughness setup, a stylus tip makes direct contact with the surface of the cavities. The detector tip is equipped with a cone-shaped stylus tip, which traces the surface and electrically detects the vertical motion of the stylus. As the stylus tracks the surface peaks and valleys, its vertical motion is converted to a time varying electrical signal that represents surface profile.



Figure 4 Schematic of surface roughness measurement



Figure 5 Surface roughness measurement process

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 4799

# 3. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Since the laser is proportionally pulsed, the power selected in Table 1 represents how long the laser remains ON for each laser pulse fired. Basically, the power setting is directly related to how deep the engraving will be. Speed setting controls how fast the motion system moves when cutting or engraving straight lines as a percentage of the maximum speed per second of the system. The resolution as a process parameter indicates the number of dots per inch (dpi). Applying full factorial methodology on the design matrix as shown in Table 1, the number of runs required to carry out on laser machine turns out to be 27. For the machined specimen shown in Fig. 3, the measurement of surface roughness is carried out by stylus type roughness tester shown in Fig. 5. The observations collected from the measurement process are shown in Table 2.

Sr. No.	Power	Speed	Resolution	Roughness
	(W)	( <b>mm</b> /s)	(dpi)	(micron)
1	25	100	100	12.3
2	25	100	200	10.9
3	25	100	300	8.5
4	25	200	100	11.6
5	25	200	200	10.5
6	25	200	300	9.7
7	25	300	100	29.5
8	25	300	200	21.7
9	25	300	300	14.1
10	50	100	100	14.8
11	50	100	200	12.1
12	50	100	300	10.7
13	50	200	100	32.4
14	50	200	200	12.1
15	50	200	300	8.3
16	50	300	100	16.4
17	50	300	200	15.5
18	50	300	300	14.4
19	75	100	100	17.8
20	75	100	200	14.9
21	75	100	300	12.5
22	75	200	100	15.1
23	75	200	200	9.9
24	75	200	300	6.6
25	75	300	100	31.1
26	75	300	200	15.4
27	75	300	300	13.6



#### **3.1 Effect of Power on Surface Roughness:**



# ROUGHNESS(MICRON)

Figure 6 Variation of surface roughness with power

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

Vol. 7 No. 1 (January, 2022)

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 4800

Roughness mainly depends upon the power level. Here Experiment was carried out with three power levels 25W, 50W, and 75W. As per Table 2, roughness measured at power level 25W was in the range of 8.57 to 29.503microns. which is the highest amount of roughness measured in the experiment. With power level 50W, the roughness was in the range of 8.329 to 32.429 microns. It had given lower surface roughness than 25W. With power level 75W, Surface roughness was in the range of 6.654 to 31.178microns. This power level showed the least amount of surface roughness. Although roughness depends upon a combination of all input parameters, it is observed that with a higher power level, material can achieve minimum surface roughness.

#### 3.2 Effect of Speed on Surface Roughness:

Speed controls how fast the laser will pass from one point to another. So, laser will have more time machining with lower speeds. Therefore, it gives better surface roughness. In experiment we achieved similar kind of results. For experiment, speeds taken were 100 mm/s, 200 mm/s and 300 mm/s. with 100 mm/s roughness measured to be in range 8.57 to 17.897 microns. Surprisingly for 200 mm/s, roughness range was between 6.654 to 15.128.for 300 mm/s, Surface roughness observed to be in range of 13.608 to 31.178 microns. Roughness observed to be increasing with increase in speed. Least amount of speed observed with 200 mm/s with 75W power and 300 dpi.



Figure7 Variation of surface roughness with speed

# 3.3 Effect of Resolution on Surface Roughness:



Figure8 Variation of surface roughness with resolution

Resolution is dots per inch, which will determine the amount of laser dots in a unit area. With the increase in resolution(dpi) surface roughness value tends to decrease. For this experiment resolution was set by 100, 200, and 300dpi. For 100dpi roughness range was observed between 11.67 to 32.429 microns. Roughness values were highest with the 100dpi. For 200dpi, the roughness range was observed to be between 10.992 to 21.773 microns. for 300dpi, the roughness was in the least amount and in the range of 6.654 to 14.442 microns. As the theory suggest, result achieved were same.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

Vol. 7 No. 1 (January, 2022)

# International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 4801

#### 3.4 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Analysis of variance is utilised to determine the LBM parameter levels in order to minimize the surface roughness of the cavity machined.Fig. 9 shows the response of optimum combination of parameters i.e. Power (W), Speed (mm/s) and resolution (dpi) for LBM which provides maximum value of surface roughness in ANOVA.



Figure 9 Mean Effective plots for surface roughness

Residual plots are used to evaluate the data problem is non-random variation, higher-order relationship, non-normality, nonconstant variance, and outliers. It is shown in Fig.10 that residual plots for surface roughness are in an approximately straight line in a normal probability plot. These are approximately symmetric in nature of the histogram shown and the residual is normally distributed. The histogram identifies that the mean and median are closed, the data are fairly balanced or symmetric, too on each side. The residual process is a constant variance and is to be scattered randomly around the zero variance is plotted to mirror effect. The residual exhibit has no clear pattern and there is no error to the time or data collection order. If the P-values are less than 0.005 then particular parameter is considered to be highly statistically significant. Here resolution is considered to be most significant parameter.

#### 3.5 Regression Analysis

Regression model are used for the response parameter prediction and is to be derived to using regression analysis. It is a mathematical measure to find an average relationship between two or more variables in their original unit of the data. It is a statistical tool to investigate relation of the variables. In regression analysis, two types of variables are predicted whose dependent variable and prediction values are independent.



Figure 10 Residual plots for surface roughness

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 4802

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	<b>F-Value</b>	P-Value
Power (W)	2	4.80	2.398	0.10	0.909
Speed (mm/s)	2	234.09	117.044	4.69	0.021
Resolution (dpi)	2	400.08	200.038	8.01	0.003
Error	20	499.54	24.977		
Total	26	1138.50			

Table 3 ANOVA table for surface roughness

Residual plots are used to evaluate the data problem of non-random variation, higher order relationship, non-normality, nonconstant variance and outliers. It is shown in Fig 11 that residual plots for Surface roughness in approximately straight line in normal probability plot and approximately symmetric nature of histogram and the residual is normally distributed.

If the P-values are less than 0.005 then particular parameter is considered to be highly statistically significant. Here resolution's P-value is 0.001 therefore it is considered to be most significant parameter. Final statistical models for the hardness, it can be used for prediction of the response and is to be given by following equation.

Regression Equation for Surface roughness

Roughness(micron) = 16.89 + 0.0183 Power (W) + 0.0317 Speed (mm/s) - 0.0459 Resolution(dpi)



Residual Plots for Roughness(micron)

Figure 11 Residual plot of Regression Analysis for surface roughness

Table 4 Regression	analysis	of surface	roughness
Tuble 4 Regression	unuiysis	oj surjace	rougnness

Source	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	F-Value	P-Value
Regression	3	564.11	188.036	7.53	0.001
Power (W)	1	3.77	3.773	0.15	0.701
Speed (mm/s)	1	180.49	180.494	7.23	0.013
Resolution (dpi)	1	379.84	379.841	15.21	0.001
Error	23	574.39	24.974		
Total	26	1138.50			

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 4803

# 4. CONCLUSION

- CO<sub>2</sub> laser machining of PMMA might be a good method overcoming complexity and cost issues with respect to material selection.
- The experiments carried out during the reported work includes laser raster cutting of acrylic specimens by varying cutting parameters like Power (P), Speed (V) and resolution (dpi). The set of data obtained was useful in developing a statistical model of surface roughness for various combinations of speed, power and resolution.
- It was found that surface roughness decreases with increase in resolution (dpi).
- In this experiment for increase power 75 W, speed 200 mm/s and resolution 300 dpi using input parameter then it's effect of surface roughness is 6.654 micron (minimum value of surface roughness in this process). So, resolution increase then roughness is decrease.

# REFERENCES

- 1 Lamikiz A, Sánchez J A, López de Lacalle L N (2007) "Laser polishing of parts built up by selective laser sintering" International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, 47(12–13):2040-2050.
- 2 Jang P R, Jang T S, Kim N C (2016)"Laser micro-polishing for metallic surface using UV nano-second pulse laser and CW laser" International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 85(9–12): 2367–2375.
- 3 Pfefferkorn F E, Duffie N A, Li X (2013)"Improving surface finish in pulsed laser micro polishing using thermocapillary flow" CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology, 62(1): 203–206.
- 4 Brinksmeier E, Riemer O, Gessenharter A (2004) "Polishing of structured molds" CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology, 53(1): 247–250.
- 5 Martan J, Cibulka O, Semmar N (2006) "Nanosecond pulse laser meltinginvestigation by IR radiometry and reflectionbased methods" Applied Surface Science, 253(3): 1170–1177.
- 6 Rosa B, Mognol P, Hascoët J (2015) "Laser polishing of additive laser manufacturing surfaces" Journal of Laser Applications, 27(S2): S29102.
- 7 Bhaduri D, Penchev P, Batal A (2017) "Laser polishing of 3D printed mesoscale components" Applied Surface Science, 405: 29–46.
- 8 Chang C S, Chung C K, Lin J F (2016) "Mechanical properties and tribological results of the SKD 61 tool steel with prior heat treatment affected by the deposited energy of continuous wave laser micro-polishing", Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 234: 177–194.
- 9 FengzhouFang, Arun Krishnan (2019) "Review on mechanism and process of surface polishing using lasers Front" Mech. Eng., 14(3): 299–319.
- 10 Jonas Holmberg, Johan Berglund, Anders Wretland, Tomas Beno (2019) "Evaluation of surface integrity after high energy machining with EDM,laser beam machining and abrasive water jet machining of alloy 718" The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 100:1575–159.
- 11 Ismail Bilicana, Mustafa Tahsin Guler "Assessment of PMMA and polystyrene based microfluidic chips fabricated using CO<sub>2</sub> laser machining", Applied Surface Science, 534.
- 12 Benoit Rosa, Pascal Mognol, and Jean-yvesHascoët(2015) "Laser polishing of additive laser manufacturing surfaces" Journal of Laser Applications, 27 (S2) 9102.
- 13 Arif M. Varsi, and Abdul Hafiz Shaikh (2019)"Experimental and statistical study on kerf taper, angle during CO2 laser cutting of thermoplastic material", 03, 2010.
- 14 A AShaikh, A M Varsi(2014) "Investigation on Depth of Cut by Varying Cutting Parameters for Single Pass Cutting On CO2 Laser", International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 3, 9,2319-8753.
- 15 FlavianaTagliaferria, Giacomo Leopardia, Ulrich Semmlerc, Michael Kuhlc, BiagioPalumbob (2013) "Study of the Influences of Laser Parameters on Laser Assisted Machining Processes" Procedia CIRP 8 (2013) 170 – 175.
- 16 K. Rajesh, V.V. Murali Krishnam Raju, S. Rajesh, N. Sudheer Kumar Varma (2019) "Effect of process parameters on machinability characteristics of CO<sub>2</sub> laser process used for cutting SS-304 Stainless steels" ICAST, 214-785.
- 17 AgnelD'Souza, I.A. Palani, SanidhyaNaikwad, Padmanabhan R, Shanmugam S, HarshadNatu, Swamy (2015) "Parametric Investigation in Laser Forming of 8mm FE-410 Plate using High Power CO2 Laser and Its Bend Angle Prediction" Materials Today: Proceedings, 2,2214-7853.
- 18 Subhasisa Nath, David G. Waugh, Andy J.Pitman (2020) "CO2 laser interactions with wood tissues during single pulselaser incision" Optics and Laser Technology, 126.
- 19 Shashi Prakash, SubrataKumar (2017) "Experimental Investigation and analytical modeling of multi-pass CO2 laser processing on PMMA", Precision Engineering, 49, 220-234.
- 20 Jingnan Zhao, Pranav Shrotriya(2017) "Hybrid CO2 laser waterjet heat (LWH) treatment of bindered boron nitride composites with hardness improvement" Ceramics International, 43 (11).
- 21 Tian Zhang, Masoud Jafari (2020) "CO2 capture and storage monitoring based on remote sensing techniques", Journal of Cleaner Production, 281, 124409.
- 22 Cong Zhou, HonggengLi,Genyu Chen (2020) "Effect of single pulsed picosecond and 100 nanosecond laser cleaning on surface morphology and welding quality of aluminum alloy", Optics and Laser Technology, 127.
- 23 Jiuying Chen, Zhenyu Xu (2016) "Measurement of CO2 concentration at hightemperature based on tuneable diode laser absorption spectroscopy", Infrared Physics & Technology, 80, 131-137.
- 24 Shashi Prakash, Subrata Kumar (2020) "Determining the suitable CO2 laser-based technique for microchannel fabrication on PMMA" Optics & Laser Technology 139(2):107017.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

- Andrew R. Bucossi, Jamie E. Rossi (2020) "Experimental design for CO2 laser cutting of sub-millimeter features in very large-area carbon nanotube sheets" Optics & Laser Technology 134:106591.
- 26 Shashi Prakash, SubrataKumar (2017) "Experimental investigations and analytical modeling of multi-pass CO2 laser processing on PMMA"Precision Engineering, 49, 220-234.
- 27 Andre Temler, EmrahUluz(2021) "Investigation on laser beam figuring of fused silica using microsecond pulsed CO2 laser radiation, Tsinghua University" Laser processing of glass.
- 28 Jingjan Zhao, Pranav Shrotriya (2017) "Hybrid CO2 laser waterjet heat (LWH) treatment of bindered boron nitride composites with hardness improvement"Ceramics International, 43, 8031-8039.
- 29 Paul Mason, Andy J.Pitman (2019) "CO2 laser interactions with wood tissues during single pulse laser-incision", Optics and Laser Technology, 126.
- 30 Amit Kumar Gupta, Akhil Bhardwaj (2021) "Evolution of microstructure and mechanical properties of Ti6Al4V alloy by multiple passes of constrained groove pressing at elevated temperature"Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 288, 116891.
- 31 Agnel D'souza, Harshad Natu (2015) "Parametric Investigation in Laser Forming of 8 mm FE-410 Plate Using High Power CO2 Laser and Its Bend Angle Prediction"Materials Today: Proceedings 2(4-5):2013-2021.
- 32 Sujit Mulay, N. Ramesh Babu (2021) "Analytical approach to predict the bend angle of sheet formed by multiple laser scans, Manufacturing Engineering Section"Proceedia CIRP 99.
- 33 Munish Kumar Gupta, Liang Li (2020) "Influence of CO2-snow and subzero MQL on thermal aspects in the machining of Ti-6Al-4V" Applied Thermal Engineering 177:115480.
- 34 K.V.Cherepanov, V.I.Konov (2020) "Fabrication of diamond diffractive optics for powerful CO2 lasers via replication of laser microstructures on silicon template" Diamond and Related Materials, 101, 107656.
- 35 B.Smith, S. Marimuthu (2019) "Laser Based Machining of Aluminum Metal Matrix Composites"Procedia CIRP 85:243-248.
- 36 Lingfei Ji, Yinzhou Yan, Yong Bao (2008) "Crack-free cutting of thick and dense ceramics with CO2 laser by single-pass process"Optics and Lasers in Engineering 46(10):785-790.
- 37 Tianhao Wu, ChangjunKe, Yutong Wang (2019) "Fabrication of trapezoidal crosssectional microchannels on PMMA with a multi-pass translational method by CO2 laser" Optik, 183, 953-961.
- 38 Chao Tan, Wei Liao, Mingjun Chen, Jian Cheng (2021) "Formation mechanism of surface morphology in the process of CO2 pulsed laser processing of fused silica optics" Optics & Laser Technology 138:106838
- 39 Andrew R. Bucossi, Jamie E.Rossi, Brian J.Landi (2021) "Experimental design for CO2 laser cutting of sub-millimeter features in very large-area carbon nanotube sheets" Optics & Laser Technology 134:106591
- 40 Ismail Bilican, Mustafa Tahsin Guler (2020) "Assessment of PMMA and polystyrene based microfluidic chip fabricated using C02 laser machining" Applied Surface Science, 534.
- 41 Abbott, E.J. and Firestone, F.A. (1933) "Surface roughness analysis and measurement", Mech. Eng., 55, 569-572.