

Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Topological Compact Spaces

Ch. Shashi Kumar

Department of Mathematics, Vardhaman College of Engineering, Shamshabad, Telangana, India.

T. Siva Nageswara Rao

Division of Mathematics, Vignan's Foundation for Science Technology and Research (Deemed to be University), Vadlamudi, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

M. Naresh

Department of Mathematics, Vignan Institute of Technology and Science, Pochampally, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri, Telangana, India.

V. Venkateswara Rao

Division of Mathematics, Vignan's Foundation for Science Technology and Research (Deemed to be University), Vadlamudi, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract - The present manuscript we introduce a new idea about neutrosophic bipolar soft (NBS) compact space. This is related to topological space and neutrosophic bipolar soft set. Next we established few basic definitions with respect to NBS compact set with respect to topological space. In additional we obtain the results based on NBS sets with respect to compact space.

INTRODUCTION

By Smarandache, Neutrosophic theory is well established and many more applications are there on it. After that many authors are developed this theory in all fields of different branches. The base of this topic is Intuitionistic fuzzy theory. Here we arranged in sequential order to how to develop from Intuitionistic fuzzy to Neutrosophic theory [1, 18].

Bayramov and Gunduz [2] discussed about intuitionistic fuzzy topological soft spaces, in this the authors are given the basic definitions and some of the results on topological soft spaces. Soft neutrosophic topological spaces are explained by Bera and Mahapatra [3]. The Neutrosophic sets launch by Smarandache [16] are a great exact implement for the situation uncertainty in the real world. The compactness on soft neutrosophic spaces with metric has been studied by Bera and Mahapatra [4]. The topological spaces with respect to fuzzy theory studied by Chang [6].

The representation of the neutrosophic sets are truth, indeterminacy and falsity value. These T, I, F values belongs to standard or non-standard unit interval denoted by $] - 0, 1 + [$ [14, 15]. Cagman et al., [5] introduce the concept of soft topology and its properties and importance together with some results on soft topology. On intuitionistic points and intuitionistic sets, relations on soft sets with respect to neutrosophic theory and some of its properties, different operations on soft neutrosophic sets and soft neutrosophic topological spaces elaborate by many authors [6-11]. These uncertainty idea comes from the theories of fuzzy sets [7], intuitionistic fuzzy sets [4, 6] and interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets [5]. Ozturk T and Shabir M [12, 17] are successfully established a new approach to operate on neutrosophic soft sets to neutrosophic soft topological spaces. In the present study, we are discussing more on soft topological compact space with respect to bipolar neutrosophic theory, and also continuous the work on soft topological space with separation axioms [13].

Venkateswara Rao et al., introduces pre-open sets and pre-closed sets in neutrosophic topology and extended this study complex neutrosophic graphs with Broumi [27, 28]. Upender Reddy et al., extend the neutrosophic theory to bipolar single valued theory on graphs as well as bipolar topological neutrosophic set [19-22]. Siva Nageswara Rao et al., collaborated work on bipolar neutrosophic weekly closed sets and interior and boundary vertices on bipolar neutrosophic graphs [23-25]. Broumi et al., demonstrate a new trend in neutrosophic theory in probability, decision making problems, graph theory, topological space, soft sets relations and some properties [29-33].

2. PREPARATORY

Here we introduce some notations (Short cuts) which are using further simplification purpose. Further we explained some basic definitions on neutrosophic bipolar soft set and we deduce some results based on neutrosophic bipolar soft set, and compact set.

Abbreviations:

1. Neutrosophic Set (NS)
2. Neutrosophic Soft Sets (NSS)
3. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft (NBS)
4. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Sets (NBSS)

5. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Topology(NBST)
6. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Topological Space(NBSTS)
7. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Open(NBSO)
8. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Open Sets(NBSOS)
9. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Closed Set (NBSCS)
10. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Interior (NBSI)
11. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Compact (NBSC)
12. Neutrosophic Bipolar Soft Compact Set(NBSCS)

Explanation 2.1. Let the domain be Υ along with a collection of variables η . Consider $N(\Upsilon)$ designate the collection of every NS of domain. A NSS λ_η over Υ is established by a well-defined collection appraisal mapping λ act for a mapping λ from η to $N(\Upsilon)$ is a multivalued mapping then λ_η over Υ is said to be NSS, here λ_η is approximated by λ . In other words, λ_η is a restricted household elements of $N(\Upsilon)$ and symbolically written as $\lambda_\eta = \{ \langle 1, \langle \Upsilon_1, \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \rangle : \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon : 1 \in \eta \}$, where $0 \leq \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \leq 1$ said to be the membership about truth, neutral and falsity mapping of $\lambda(1)$, respectively. As the least upper bound of all Φ, Θ, Λ is unity, so the values of $\lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ lies between zero to three.

All round this exertion, $BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$ mention the variety of each and every NBSS over Υ .

Explanation 2.2. Consider $\lambda_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. The notation λ_η^c indicates the complement of λ_η and is explained by $\lambda_\eta^c = \{ \langle 1, \langle \Upsilon_1, \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), 1 - \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \rangle : \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon : 1 \in \eta \}$. Obvious that, $(\lambda_\eta^c)^c = \lambda_\eta$.

Explanation 2.3. Consider $\lambda_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then λ_η is a subset of $\bar{\phi}_\eta$, denoted by $\lambda_\eta \subseteq \bar{\phi}_\eta$. If for $\forall \eta_1 \in \eta, \forall \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon$;

$$1. \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \leq \bar{\phi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$$

$$2. \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \leq \bar{\phi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$$

$$3. \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \leq \bar{\phi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$$

λ_η equals to $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ if $\lambda_\eta \subseteq \bar{\phi}_\eta$ and $\bar{\phi}_\eta \subseteq \lambda_\eta$. It is denoted by $\lambda_\eta = \bar{\phi}_\eta$.

Explanation 2.4. Let $\lambda_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. The union of λ_η and $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ is equal to $\bar{\psi}_\eta$ and is interpreted as $\bar{\psi}_\eta = \{ \langle 1, \langle \Upsilon_1, \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \rangle : \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon : 1 \in \eta \}$, where $\bar{\psi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is the maximum of $\lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$, $\bar{\psi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is maximum of $\lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is minimum of $\lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$.

Explanation 2.5. Let $\lambda_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. The intersection of λ_η and $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ is equal to $\bar{\psi}_\eta$ and is described as $\bar{\psi}_\eta = \{ \langle 1, \langle \Upsilon_1, \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \rangle : \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon : 1 \in \eta \}$, where $\bar{\psi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is the minimum of $\lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$, $\bar{\psi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is minimum of $\lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is maximum of $\lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$.

Explanation 2.6. Let $\lambda_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then, λ_η difference $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ operation on them is indicated as $\lambda_\eta \setminus \bar{\phi}_\eta = \bar{\psi}_\eta$ and is explained by $\bar{\psi}_\eta = \lambda_\eta \cap \bar{\phi}_\eta^c$ as follows: $\bar{\psi}_\eta = \{ \langle 1, \langle \Upsilon_1, \lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1), \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) \rangle : \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon : 1 \in \eta \}$, where $\bar{\psi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is the minimum of $\lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$, $\bar{\psi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is minimum of $\lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $1 - \bar{\phi}_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ is maximum of $\lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1)$.

Explanation 2.7. $\lambda_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\Phi, \Theta, \Lambda}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then, λ_η is called,

1. an empty NSS if $\lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1) = 0, \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1) = 1, \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) = 1; \forall 1 \in \eta, \forall \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon$. It is denoted by 0_{Υ_η} .

2. complete NSS if $\lambda_{\Phi(1)}(\Upsilon_1) = 1, \lambda_{\Theta(1)}(\Upsilon_1) = 1, \lambda_{\Lambda(1)}(\Upsilon_1) = 0 \forall 1 \in \eta, \forall \Upsilon_1 \in \Upsilon$. It is denoted by 1_{Υ_η} .

Clearly, $0_{\Upsilon_\eta}^c = 1_{\Upsilon_\eta}$ and $1_{\Upsilon_\eta}^c = 0_{\Upsilon_\eta}$.

Result 2.8. Let $\lambda_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then the

1. accompaniment of union of λ_η & $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ is same as common part of complement of λ_η & $\bar{\phi}_\eta$
2. complement of intersection of λ_η & $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ is equal to union of complement of λ_η & $\bar{\phi}_\eta$

Explanation 2.9. Let $BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau$ be a subset $BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then $BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau$ is called a NBST on Υ if,

1. $0_{\Upsilon_\eta}, I_{\Upsilon_\eta} \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau$
2. $\lambda_\eta, \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \lambda_\eta \cap \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau$
3. $(\lambda_\eta)_i, \forall i \in I$, the arbitrary union of $(\lambda_\eta)_i$ is also an element of $BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau$. The triplet $(\Upsilon, BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \eta)$ is known as (NBSTS). The elements of $BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau$ are said to be NBSO in Υ .

Explanation 2.10. Consider $(\Upsilon, BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \eta)$ is a NBSTS over Υ and $\lambda_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta)$.

(a) Then, λ_η is known as NBSCS if λ_η^c is a NBSOS.

(b) Then, the NSI of λ_η , indicated by $(\lambda_\eta)^o$, is explained by NBS joining of every NBSO subsets of λ_η .
 $(\lambda_\eta)^o = \bigcup \{ \bar{\phi}_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta) : \bar{\phi}_\eta \text{ is a NBSOS and } \bar{\phi}_\eta \subset \lambda_\eta \}$

Clearly, $(\lambda_\eta)^o$ is the maximum of NBSOS belongs to λ_η .

(c) Then, the NBS closure of λ_η , indicated by $(\lambda_\eta)^c$, is explained by the NBS common of every NBSC contains λ_η .
 $(\lambda_\eta)^c = \bigcap \{ \chi_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta) : \chi_\eta \text{ is a NBSCS and } \lambda_\eta \subset \chi_\eta \}$

Clearly, $(\lambda_\eta)^c$ is the minimum of NBSCS contained in λ_η .

Explanation 2.11. The NBSS $\Upsilon_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})}$ is called a NBS point, for every $\forall j \in \Upsilon$, $-1 \leq 3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-} \leq 0$,

$0 \leq 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+} \leq 1$ for every $1 \in \eta$, and is describe by:

$$J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})}(\phi)(h) = \begin{cases} (3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+}), & \text{if } \phi' = \phi \text{ and } h = j, \\ (0, 0, -1; 0, 0, 1), & \text{if } \phi' = \phi \text{ or } h \neq j \end{cases}$$

Explanation 2.12. Consider λ_η is a NBS set on top of Υ , said to be $J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \in \lambda_\eta$, if $3^{*-} > B^{\Phi_{\lambda(1)}}(j)$, $\Delta^{*-} > B^{\Theta_{\lambda(1)}}(j)$ and $h^{*-} < B^{\Lambda_{\lambda(1)}}(j)$
 $3^{*+} < B^{\Phi_{\lambda(1)}}(j)$, $\Delta^{*+} < B^{\Theta_{\lambda(1)}}(j)$ and $h^{*+} > B^{\Lambda_{\lambda(1)}}(j)$.

Explanation 2.13. Let $(\Upsilon, BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Υ and $\lambda_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then, λ_η is called a NBS neighborhood of the NBS $J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \in \lambda_\eta$, if \exists a NBS open set $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ such $J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \in \lambda_\eta \in \bar{\phi}_\eta \subset \lambda_\eta$.

Result 2.14. Let $(\Upsilon, BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \eta)$ be a NBSTS and $\lambda_\eta \in BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}}(\Upsilon_\eta)$. Then, λ_η is a NBS open set $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_\eta$ is a NBS neighborhood of its NBS points.

Explanation 2.15. Let $(\Upsilon, BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Υ and $J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})}$ and $h^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})}$ are distinct NBS points. If \exists NBS open sets λ_η and $\bar{\phi}_\eta$ such that, $J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \in \lambda_\eta$, $J_1^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \cap \bar{\phi}_\eta = 0_{\Upsilon_\eta}$ and $y^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \in \bar{\phi}_\eta$ $y^{(3^{*-}, \Delta^{*-}, h^{*-}, 3^{*+}, \Delta^{*+}, h^{*+})} \cap \lambda_\eta = 0_{\Upsilon_\eta}$

Then, $(\Upsilon, BN_{SS}^{\text{NS}} * \tau, \eta)$ is called a NBS $\mathcal{P}_1^{\text{NS}}$ -space.

Explanation 2.16. Let $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Y and $J_{(\mathfrak{S}^+, \Delta^+, h^+, \mathfrak{S}^{++}, \Delta^{++}, h^{++})}^1$, and $h^1_{(\mathfrak{S}^+, \Delta^+, h^+, \mathfrak{S}^{++}, \Delta^{++}, h^{++})}$ are distinct NBS points. If \exists NBSopen sets λ_{η} and $\bar{\phi}_{\eta}$ such that, $J_{(\mathfrak{S}^+, \Delta^+, h^+, \mathfrak{S}^{++}, \Delta^{++}, h^{++})}^1 \in \lambda_{\eta}$, $h^1_{(\mathfrak{S}^+, \Delta^+, h^+, \mathfrak{S}^{++}, \Delta^{++}, h^{++})} \in \bar{\phi}_{\eta}$ and $\lambda_{\eta} \cap \bar{\phi}_{\eta} = 0_{Y_{\eta}}$. Then, $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ is called a NBS \mathcal{P}_2^0 -space (NBS Hausdorff space).

Explanation 2.17. Ais calleda NBS normal spaceover Y is indicated by $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ (NBSTS) and is explained as for any two different NBSCS $\lambda_{\eta}^1, \lambda_{\eta}^2, \exists$ disjoint NBSopen $\bar{\phi}_{\eta}^1, \bar{\phi}_{\eta}^2$ such that $\lambda_{\eta}^1 \subset \bar{\phi}_{\eta}^1$ and $\lambda_{\eta}^2 \subset \bar{\phi}_{\eta}^2$. $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ is a NBS \mathcal{P}_4^0 -space it satisfies both NBSnormal and neutrosophic soft \mathcal{P}_1^0 -space.

Explanation 2.18. Let $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Y and λ_{η} be an arbitrary NBSS. Then $\tau_{\lambda_{\eta}} = \{(\lambda_{\eta} \cap \bar{\psi}_{\eta}) : \bar{\psi}_{\eta} \in \tau\}$ is said to be NBST on λ_{η} and $(\lambda_{\eta}, *_{\tau_{\lambda_{\eta}}}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ is called a NBST subspace of $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$.

SOFT COMPACT SPACES WITH RESPECT TO BIPOLAR NEUTROSOPHIC

In the present division, we discuss basic terminology of NBSCspace on a NBSS. Also we derived some prepositions on it.

Explanation 3.1. (i) Consider $\Omega = \{(\lambda_{\eta})_j : j \in J\}$ a collection of subset of $BN_{SS}^{\text{BNSS}}(\lambda_{\eta})$. If $\lambda_{\eta} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} (\lambda_{\eta})_j$, then Ω is cover of the NBS subset $J_{Y_{\eta}}$. Ω is NBS cover of $J_{Y_{\eta}}$ if it satisfies $\lambda_{\eta} = J_{Y_{\eta}}$.

(ii) Ω is a finite or countable NBS cover, if the cardinality of $J_{Y_{\eta}}$ is finite or countable.

(iii) Consider $\Omega = \{(\lambda_{\eta})_j : j \in J\}$ is a NBS cover of $J_{Y_{\eta}}$. If, $J_{Y_{\eta}}$ is the NBS subfamily of Ω and it is also a cover of $J_{Y_{\eta}}$, then Ω' is NBS sub-cover of Ω .

(iv) Every countable NBS subclasses of Ω has non-empty intersection is known as centralized NBS, where Ω is a class of NBSS.

Explanation 3.2. (i) Consider $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Y and Ω be a NBS cover of $J_{Y_{\eta}}$. If every element of the cover Ω is a NBS open (closed) in $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$, then Ω is a NBS open (closed) cover.

(ii) The intersection between the neighborhood of some NBS with the cover Ω is countable for every $J_{(\mathfrak{S}^+, \Delta^+, h^*)}^1 \in BN_{SS}^{\text{BNSS}}(Y_{\eta})$, Ω is called a NBS locally finite cover.

(iii) Ω is a NBS star finite cover if for every $(\lambda_{\eta})_j$ of the cover Ω meets only a countable collection of objects.

(iv) Ω is NBS point finite cover if for every $J_{(\mathfrak{S}^+, \Delta^+, h^*)}^1 \in BN_{SS}^{\text{BNSS}}(Y_{\eta})$ into only a countable number of objectives of the cover Ω .

Explanation 3.3. Let $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Y and $\lambda_{\eta} \in BN_{SS}^{\text{BNSS}}(Y_{\eta})$.

(i) $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ is NBS compact space if for any NBS open-cover of NBSTS contains a countable NBS sub-cover.

(ii) λ_{η} is NBS compact set if $(\lambda_{\eta}, *_{\tau_{\lambda_{\eta}}}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ is a NBS compact space with respect to $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$.

Result 3.4. Consider $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$ be a NBSTS over Y , $\lambda_{\eta} \in BN_{SS}^{\text{BNSS}}(Y_{\eta})$. λ_{η} is a NBSCS iff for all NBSO cover of λ_{η} has a countable NBS sub cover in NBSTS.

Proof. Consider $\lambda_{\eta} \in BN_{SS}^{\text{BNSS}}(Y_{\eta})$ be a NBS compact set and the family $\Omega = \{(\lambda_{\eta})_j : j \in J\}$ is a NBS open cover of λ_{η} in $(Y, *_{\tau}^{\text{BNSS}}, \eta)$. Then, $\lambda_{\eta} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} (\lambda_{\eta})_j \Rightarrow \lambda_{\eta} = \bigcup_{j \in J} (\lambda_{\eta} \cap (\lambda_{\eta})_j)$ can be written. Since each $j \in J$ is $\lambda_{\eta} \cap (\lambda_{\eta})_j \in *_{\tau_{\lambda_{\eta}}}^{\text{BNSS}}$,

NBS family $\{(\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is a NBSopen cover of λ_η and since $(\lambda_\eta, \tau, \eta)$ is NBS compact, for $\exists j_1, \dots, j_n$. $\lambda_\eta = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k}$ is obtained, that is, family $\{(\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} : k=1, n\}$ is a NBS finitesub cover of λ_η .

Conversely, let family $\{(\phi_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is a NBS open cover of NBSTS. Since each $j \in J$ is $(\phi_\eta)_j \in \tau_{\lambda_\eta}^{BN_{SS}}$, there is $(\lambda_\eta)_j \in \tau_{\lambda_\eta}^{BN_{SS}}$ such that $(\phi_\eta)_j = \lambda_\eta \cap (\lambda_\eta)_j$. Thus, family $\{(\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is a NBS open covering of the λ_η in (Y, τ, η) and $\exists (\lambda_\eta)_{j_1}, \dots, (\lambda_\eta)_{j_n} : \bigcap_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} \Rightarrow \lambda_\eta = \lambda_\eta \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} \right) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \lambda_\eta \cap (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k}$

Result 3.5. Consider (Y, τ, η) be a NBSTS over Y . J_{Y_η} is NBS compact space iff for all classes of NBS closed sets with nullity intersection in NBSTS contains a countable subclasses with nullity intersection.

Proof. Consider (Y, τ, η) be a NBS compact space, also the intersection of the class of $\Omega = \{(\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is the NBS closed sets class is nullity.

Then, the classes, $\Omega = \{(\bar{\phi}_\eta)_j = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is the NBS open sets family and we obtain, $\bigcup_{j \in J} (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_j = \bigcup_{j \in J} (J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\lambda_\eta)_j) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus K_{Y_\eta} = J_{Y_\eta}$

Thus, the family $\Omega = \{(\bar{\phi}_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is a NBS open covering of J_{Y_η} . Since (Y, τ, η) is a NBS compact space, $\exists (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_1}, \dots, (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_n} : J_{Y_\eta} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k}$ is derived. Next the intersection of the countable subclasses $\{(\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} : k=1, n\}$ of the NBS class of $\Omega = \{(\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ and we derive, $\bigcap_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} = \bigcap_{k=1}^n (J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k}) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k} \right) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus J_{Y_\eta} = K_{Y_\eta}$

Conversely, let family $\Omega = \{(\bar{\phi}_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ be a NBS open covering of the J_{Y_η} .

The intersection of the NBS closed sets family $\Omega = \{(\lambda_\eta)_j = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is empty. Really, $\bigcap_{j \in J} (\lambda_\eta)_j = \bigcap_{j \in J} (J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k}) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j \in J} (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k} \right) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus J_{Y_\eta} = K_{Y_\eta}$

Then, from the condition of theorem $\exists (\lambda_\eta)_{j_1}, \dots, (\lambda_\eta)_{j_n} : \bigcap_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} = K_{Y_\eta}$ can be written. Hence, $\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k}) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} \right) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus K_{Y_\eta} = J_{Y_\eta}$

Thus, the NBS finitesub covering of NBS $\Omega = \{(\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ covering of J_{Y_η} was found. As a result, (Y, τ, η) is NBS compact space.

Result 3.6. Consider (Y, τ, η) be a NBSTS over Y . (Y, τ, η) is a NBS compact space if and only if the NBS common of all members of all NBS centered closed member classes is disjoint from nullity in J_{Y_η} .

Proof. Let (Y, τ, η) be a NBS compact space over Y , and $\Omega = \{(\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ be NBS centered closed sets family. Suppose $\bigcap_{j \in J} (\lambda_\eta)_j = K_{Y_\eta}$. Then, family $\Omega = \{(\bar{\phi}_\eta)_j = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\lambda_\eta)_j : j \in J\}$ is an open covering of J_{Y_η} . Since (Y, τ, η) is a NBS

compact space. $\exists (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_1}, \dots, (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_n} : J_{Y_\eta} = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k}$ written.

Particularly here $\bigcap_{j=1}^n (\lambda_\eta)_{j_k} = \bigcap_{j=1}^n (J_{Y_\eta} \setminus (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k}) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_\eta)_{j_k} \right) = J_{Y_\eta} \setminus J_{Y_\eta} = K_{Y_\eta}$

Particularly here, our presumption is correct, hence $\bigcap_{j \in J} (\lambda_\eta)_j \neq K_{Y_\eta}$.

On the other hand, $(Y, *_{\tau}^{BN_{SS}}, \eta)$ is not NBS compact space, Then, there exists nocountable NBS sub-covering of $\Omega = \{(\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_j\}_{j \in J}$

NBS open covering of $J_{Y_{\eta}}$. Thus, $\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_{j_k} \neq J_{Y_{\eta}}$ is obtained for any $(\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_{j_1}, \dots, (\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_{j_n}$ infinite NBS subfamily of Ω NBS family.

Consider the family of $\Omega = \{(\lambda_{\eta})_j = J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus (\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_j\}_{j \in J}$ NBS closed sets. Here, because

$\bigcap_{k=1}^n (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_k} = \bigcap_{k=1}^n (J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus (\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_{j_k}) = J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_{j_k}) \neq K_{Y_{\eta}}$ is the Ω family is A NBS centered closed set classes also the result is

$\bigcap_{j \in J} (\lambda_{\eta})_j \neq K_{Y_{\eta}}$.

Then, $J_{Y_{\eta}} = \bigcup_{j \in J} (\bar{\phi}_{\eta})_j = \bigcup_{j \in J} (J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_k}) = J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus \left(\bigcap_{j \in J} (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_k} \right) \neq J_{Y_{\eta}}$

So, the presumption is in correct. Hence the result.

Result 3.7. For all NBS closed sub-set of a NBS compact topological space is NBS compact.

Proof. Let $(Y, *_{\tau}^{BN_{SS}}, \eta)$ be a NBS compact space, NBS sets family $\Omega = \{(\lambda_{\eta})_j : j \in J\}$ is an open cover of λ_{η} .

Particularly, $\lambda_{\eta} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} (\lambda_{\eta})_j$ and λ_{η} are NBS closed, so the $J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus \lambda_{\eta}$ is open set. On the offensive, it is also expressed as

$\exists (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_1}, \dots, (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_n}$ in: $\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_k} \right) \cup (J_{Y_{\eta}} \setminus (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_k}) = J_{Y_{\eta}}$.

Here, $\lambda_{\eta} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\lambda_{\eta})_{j_k}$ is derived.

4. INTERPRETATIONS

At this particular context, we successfully demonstrated the idea of neutrosophic bipolar soft compact set and their properties. Also we discussed locally bipolar soft compact space with respect to neutrosophic theory. Further we obtained the relationship between NBSC and NBS separation theorem. And also we use these results for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Atanassov, K.: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **20**, 87–96 (1986)
- [2]. Bayramov, S., Gunduz, C.: On intuitionistic fuzzy soft topological spaces. TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math. **5**(1), 66–79 (2014)
- [3]. Bera, T., Mahapatra, N.K.: Introduction to neutrosophic soft topological space. Opsearch **54**(4), 841–867 (2017)
- [4]. Bera, T., Mahapatra, N.K.: Compactness and continuity on neutrosophic soft metric space. Int. J. Adv. Math. **2018**(4), 1–24 (2018)
- [5]. Cagman, N., Karatas, S., Enginoglu, S.: Soft topology. Comput. Math. Appl. **62**(1), 351–358 (2011)
- [6]. Chang, C.L.: Fuzzy topological spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24**(1), 182–190 (1968)
- [7]. Coker, D.: A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points. Turk. J. Math. **20**(3), 343–351 (1996)
- [8]. Deli, I., Broumi, S.: Neutrosophic soft relations and some properties. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **9**(1), 169–182 (2015)
- [9]. Maji, P.K.: Neutrosophic soft set. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **5**(1), 157–168 (2013)
- [10]. Maji, P.K., Biswas, R., Roy, A.R.: Fuzzy soft sets. J. Fuzzy Math. **9**(3), 589–602 (2001)
- [11]. Molodtsov, D.: Soft Set Theory-First Results. Comput. Math. Appl. **37**, 19–31 (1999)
- [12]. Ozturk, T., Gunduz Aras, C., Bayramov, S.: A new approach to operations on neutrosophic soft sets and to neutrosophic soft topological spaces. Commun. Math. Appl. **10**(3), 481–493 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.26713/cma.v10i3.1068>
- [13]. Ozturk, T.Y., Gunduz (Aras) C., Bayramov S., : Separation axioms on neutrosophic soft topological spaces. Turk. J. Math. **43**, 498–510 (2019)
- [14]. Pawlak, Z.: Rough sets. Int. J. Comput. Inform. Sci. **11**(5), 341–356 (1982)
- [15]. Salma, A.A., Alblowi, S.A.: Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces. IOSR J. Math. **3**(4), 31–35 (2012)
- [16]. Smarandache, F.: Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **24**, 287–297 (2005)

- [17]. Shabir, M., Naz, M.: On soft topological spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 1786–1799 (2011)
- [18]. Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy Sets. *Inform. Control* **8**, 338–353 (1965)
- [19]. G Upender Reddy, T Siva Nageswara Rao, N Srinivasa Rao, V Venkateswara Rao (Feb.2021), "Bipolar Single Valued Neutrosophic detour distance", *Indian Journal of Science And Technology*, 14(5), 427-431.
- [20]. G. Upender Reddy, T. Siva Nageswara Rao, N. Srinivasa Rao, V. Venkateswara Rao (Jan.2021), "Bipolar Topological Pre-Closed Neutrosophic Sets", *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 9(1), 159-162.
- [21]. G. Upender Reddy, T. Siva Nageswara Rao, N. Srinivasa Rao, V. Venkateswara Rao (Sept.2020), "Bipolar soft neutrosophic topological region", *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 8(4), 1687-1690.
- [22]. G. Upender Reddy, T. Siva Nageswara Rao, V. Venkateswara Rao, Y. Srinivasa Rao (Sept.2020), "Minimal Spanning tree Algorithms w. r. t. Bipolar Neutrosophic Graphs", *London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal*, 20(8), pp-13-24.
- [23]. T. Siva Nageswara Rao, G. Upender Reddy, V. Venkateswara Rao, Y. Srinivasa Rao (Aug.2020), "Bipolar Neutrosophic Weakly BG^* - Closed Sets", *High Technology Letters*, 26(8), pp-878-887.
- [24]. T. Siva Nageswara Rao, Ch. Shashi Kumar, Y. Srinivasa Rao, V. Venkateswara Rao, (Aug.2020), "Detour Interior and Boundary vertices of BSV Neutrosophic Graphs", *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(8), pp. 2382- 2394.
- [25]. Ch. Shashi Kumar, T. Siva Nageswara Rao, Y. Srinivasa Rao, V. Venkateswara Rao (Aug.2020) "Interior and Boundary vertices of BSV Neutrosophic Graphs" *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical Control Systems*, 12(6), pp.1510-1515,.
- [26]. Y. Srinivasa Rao, Ch. Shashi Kumar, T. Siva Nageswara Rao, V. Venkateswara Rao, (June.2020) "Single Valued Neutrosophic detour distance" *Journal of critical reviews*, 7(8), pp.810-812, <https://dx.doi.org/10.31838/jcr.07.08.173>
- [27]. V.Venkateswara Rao., Y. Srinivasa Rao., "Neutrosophic Pre-open Sets and Pre-closed Sets in Neutrosophic Topology", *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, Vol.(10),No.10, pp 449-458, (2017).
- [28]. V. Venkateswara Rao, Y. Srinivasa Rao, Ch. Krishna Sagar, Y. Udaya Kumar, "Neutrosophic Some Special Classical Graphs" *International Journal of Advanced Mathematics*, Vol 1,(1),(2017), pp:36-42.
- [29]. S. Broumi, A. Bakali, M. Talea, F. Smarandache and V. Venkateswara Rao, Interval Complex Neutrosophic Graph of Type 1, *Neutrosophic Operational Research Volume III*, V sub division, (2018), pp:88-107.
- [30]. S. Broumi, A. Bakali, M. Talea, F. Smarandache and V. Venkateswara Rao, Bipolar Complex Neutrosophic Graphs of Type 1, *New Trends in Neutrosophic Theory and Applications. Volume II*, (2018), pp: 189-208.
- [31]. S. Broumi, M. Talea, A. Bakali, F. Smarandache, Prem Kumar Singh, M. Murugappan, and V. Venkateswara Rao, Neutrosophic Technique Based Efficient Routing Protocol For MANET Based On Its Energy And Distance. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 24, (2019), pp. 61-69.
- [32]. S. Broumi, P. K. Singh, M. Talea, A. Bakali, F. Smarandache and V.Venkateswara Rao, Single-valued neutrosophic techniques for analysis of WIFI connection, *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* Vol. 915, (2019) pp. 405-512, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-11928-7_36.
- [33]. Smarandache, F., Broumi, S., Singh, P. K., Liu, C., Venkateswara Rao, V., Yang, H.-L. Elhassouny, A. Introduction to neutrosophy and neutrosophic environment. In *Neutrosophic Set in Medical Image Analysis*, (2019), pp: 3–29.