International Journal of Mechanical Engineering

Study and analysis of sewage sludge waste as an ingredient in brick making

¹Modugu Naveen Kumar, ²M Harish Kumar, ³M Fayaz, ⁴Kalvala Abhiram

^{1&2&3&4}Assistant Professors, Dept.of Civil Engineering,

Holymary Institute of Technology and Sciences,

Telangana, India, 501301.

Abstract. The disposal of sewage waste is the most important hassle in urban cities because it causes much harmful impact to the surroundings. Sludge is the number one product from sewage waste. Conventional brick is widely talking prepared via the usage of clay. Chemical composition of sludge is type of just like the clay. Therefore sludge may be used rather for clay, soil in manufacturing of bricks. This paper shows that the sludge turned into checked for its physical characterization which includes bulk density, compressive electricity and chemical homes which includes water absorption percent, presence of poisonous metals inclusive of Pb, Zn, Cu and Fe for the commercial motive. The energy calculated at 5000C temperatures met the needs of the national requirements with up to 6.66 percent sludge brought to the bricks.

Keywords. Dry sludge, Flyash, Soil, Sieve, Physical&chemical properties.

1. Introduction

Waste may be described as an undesired substance created after manufacturing process of business, or from farming, or from pastime retention in residence [1]. It is the discarded content that is the main disposal requirement [2, 3].

Fast industrialization and urbanization is inflicting extreme environmental issues. one of the fundamental worries among these is secure and sound disposal of strong wastes [1,8]. There is a strong demand for environmentally friendly reuse and efficient sludge disposal solutions due to the growing quantity of sludge generated by wastewater treatment plants[4,5,6]. While sanitary landfills are typically used for waste disposal or sewage sludge, it has become increasingly difficult to find acceptable landfill sites through rapid urbanization [7].

In the environment, waste produces many nuisances. For humans and animals, it produces several kinds of viral or bacterial infection that generate mattress effect on fitness [9,10].

1.1 Generally waste types are



Figure 1. Classifying different waste types.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 3398

2. Experimental materials

The characteristics and details of all the material forms to be used in the design of the concrete mix are given below

- 1) Soil
- 2) Fly-ash
- 3) Dry sludge
- 2.1. Dry sludge



Figure 2. Sample image of dry sludge.

2.2 Physical properties

| S.no | Properties | Results |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Bulk density, kg m^3 | 686 |
| 2 | Specific gravity | 1.34 |
| 3 | Clay and sulphate content% | 0.3- 0.7 |
| 4 | Water absorption | 0.7 |
| 5 | Graint type | 1.3 |
| | Coefficient | |
| 6 | Softening coefficient | 0.97 |
| 7 | Moisture content, % | 0.1-11.5 |
| T 11 4 7 | | |

Table 1. Typical dry sludge Physical Properties.

2.2 Analysation of dry sludge by Sieve

Quantitative scale determination of the Distribution of a fine grained proportion of the particles of dried sludge.Collect a sufficient amount of dry sludge.The mass of a sample of dried sludge necessary to the maximum cloth size depends on each inspection. It is important to The sieve to be used would be washed and the weight of each sieve and pan registered.To get the most important mesh size, place the sieves on the tip of the pile. Pour the sample into the pot. of soil carefully into top of sieve and put the cover it.On the mechanical shaker, position the sieve stack, screw off, cap, and vibrate pattern 10 minutes of dry sludge.Take away the Stacking and re-weighing each sieve and the lowest Pan with retained fraction of the soil sample on it.Soil pattern of preliminary mass is taken to study in(kg) = zero.500.500 kg.



Figure 3. oven dried sludge.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

| Size of sieve (mm) | retained (g) Soil | Retained (%) | Cumulative (%) retained | finer(%) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|
| 3.75mm | 224.4 | 43.7 | 45.7 | 53.3 |
| 2.0mm | 95.1 | 18.4 | 69.1 | 37.9 |
| 1.0mm | 92.2 | 16 | 85.1 | 18.9 |
| 600µm | 26.4 | 5.7 | 85.8 | 12.2 |
| 425µm | 16.2 | 2.4 | 91.2 | 8.8 |
| 300µm | 10.8 | 2.2 | 92.4 | 7.6 |
| 212µm | 9.1 | 1.8 | 94.2 | 5.8 |
| 150µm | 8.5 | 1.7 | 95.9 | 4.1 |
| 75µm pan | 10.2 11.1 | 2.0 3.1 | 97.9 99 | 2.1 0.1 |
| | | | | |

Table 2.Observation table.

2.3 Proctor compaction test

Obtain and pulverize an appropriate amount Take about 3 kg of soil from the air-dried soil. in a blending tray through four. seventy five mm sieve. The base plate weights the mold and gently follows the grease on the inner surfaces. The mildew on a strong base fits the collar and surroundings. Upload In order to bring water to the soil its material of Up to around 8 percent moisture content, after which it is blended very well with the trowel until a uniform color is obtained by the soil. In three, compress the wet field identical layers for light compaction Usage of a rammer with a weight of 2.8kg and an unfastened fall of 32 cm. Quietly disperse blows to each sheet, add 25 blows to. Ensure that ultimate compact Above the joint, the layer extends of the neckInstead, compact the soil with 25 blows in conjunction with the layer for extreme compaction, in 5 equal layers with a 4.9kg mass rammer and forty-five cm loose slide. To set it off, rotate the collar, cut the Compacted field flush with the mildew pinnacle, and measure A mildew with a foundation plate and soil. For water content dedication, Extrude the mould from the soil and accumulate samples of soil from the pinnacle, mid and bottom sections. The position of the soil returned in the effort, entirely dependent on the specific soil mass, upload 2 percent more water, and re blend as in phase three. Repeat steps 4to5 times.



Figure 4. Proctor (mould and hammer).

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

| Test no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|------|------|------|------|
| Mould weight + compacted sludge(g) | 4980 | 4910 | 5076 | 5010 |
| Weight of compacted sludge mass $, w_t(g)$ | 1011 | 1042 | 1078 | 1130 |
| Bulk density $\gamma_t = , \frac{W_t}{v}$ | 1.02 | 1.03 | 1.06 | 1.11 |
| Average percent of water w(%) | 0.03 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.14 |
| Dry density, $(g/_{cc})\gamma_d = \gamma_t/1 + w$ | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.90 |
| dry density 100\$% saturation(g/cc) | 1.50 | 1.51 | 1.51 | 1.50 |

Table 3. Chemical properties.

Table 4.Chemical features of dried sludge.

| S.no | Particulars | Percentage of cont. |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Si0 ₂ | 34.68 |
| 2 | Al_2O_3 | 10.46 |
| 3 | Fe_2O_3 | 7.84 |
| 4 | MgO | 13.55 |
| 5 | CaO | 25.2 |
| 6 | Na ₂ 0 | 0.5 |
| 7 | K ₂ O | 0.33 |
| 8 | SO_3 | 0.61 |
| 9 | P ₂ 0 ₅ | 0 |
| 10 | Ti0 ₂ | 3 |
| 11 | MnO | 2.2 |
| 12 | L _{oi} | 7.96 |
| | Total | 92.901 |

Source: Erode Mineral Research &Development Society Article, Petrography and Laboratory of Mineral Chemistry.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 3401

3. Experimental design analysis

3.1 Mix design

| Different sludge percentage | Soil | Sand (murum) | Fly ash | H ₂ O |
|--------------------------------|------|--------------|---------|------------------|
| 15% | 51% | 27% | 13% | 41lit |
| 25% | 43% | 24% | 13% | 43 lit |
| 35% | 37% | 19% | 11% | 42 lit |
| 45% | 33% | 18% | 13% | 44 lit |
| 55% | 22% | 12% | 10% | 43 lit |

Table 5.Brick mix design.

3.2 Manufacturing process

Batching is considered the scale of substances for processing. Two batching methods are available.

Batching volumes, batching weights.

Strictly speaking, weight batching is the required material calculation technique. For critical mix, the weight batching gadget should always be accompanied in batching by the weight machine, allowing accuracy, versatility and simplicity. There are exclusive Usable types of weight batchers. The exact design had been used depends on the purpose of the mission.

3.2 Mixing



Figure 5. Materials in site.

Working on site with the use of brick-making material mix design (Soil, dry sludge, fullest, mist and fly ash)

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 3402



Figure 6. Bricks preparation.

Put the mould on a solid, flat board. Form the collected test sample by injecting the content mix (size 190x 90x 80 mm) into three layers, nearly equal in volume, into mould. Move the scoop along, the mould's top edge to the mould allow a symmetric distribute the substance blend within mould. After 2 days of drying it, in sunlight, bricks were needed for the fester to be placed in the kiln

3.4 Testing of bricks and results



Figure 7. Bricks for testing. **Source:** Kongu engineering college

| No.of bricks | Conventional bricks | 10% sludge bricks | 20% sludge bricks | 30% sludge bricks |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 2320gm | 2131gm | 1780gm | 1560gm |
| 2 | 2330gm | 2120gm | 1810gm | 1550gm |
| 3 | 2370gm | 2180gm | 1780gm | 1590gm |
| 4 | 2330gm | 2150gm | 1760gm | 1595gm |
| 5 | 2320gm | 2110gm | 1740gm | 1555gm |
| 6 | 2340gm | 2110gm | 1720gm | 1580gm |
| 7 | 2320gm | 2110gm | 1780gm | 1570gm |

Table 6.Weight of different percentage of sludge bricks.

3.5 Water absorption



Figure 8. Absorption of water by bricks. Source:Kongu engineering college

Table 7.Brick Weight after the Water Absorption.

| Number bricks | Of | Normal bricks | 10% bricks | sludge | 20% bricks | sludge | 30% bricks | sludge |
|------------------|----|---------------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| 1 | | 2710gm | 2510gm | | 2140gm | | 1860gm | |
| 2 | | 2780gm | 2540gm | | 2135gm | | 1850gm | |
| 3 | | 2820gm | 2540gm | | 2164gm | | 1890gm | |
| 4 | | 2730gm | 2520gm | | 2125gm | | 1817gm | |
| 5 | | 2720gm | 2520gm | | 2120gm | | 1870gm | |
| 6 | | 2760gm | 2530gm | | 2127gm | | 1850gm | |
| 7 | | 2720gm | 2534gm | | 2114gm | | 1850gm | |
| | | | | | | | | |

3.6 Compressive strength test



Figure 9. Measuring compressive strength

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

Source: Kongu engineering college

Table 8. Compressive brick strength.

| No. Of bricks | Normal bricks | 10% of sludge bricks | 20% of sludge bricks | 30% of sludge bricks |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| 2 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 5 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| 6 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| 7 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 1.6 |

Table 9.Reduce bricks cost.

| No. Of bricks | About traditional bricks (rupees) | 20% sludge bricks (rupees) | 30% sludge bricks (rupees) | 40% sludge bricks (rupees) |
|---------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 3.51 | 3.10 | 2.3 | 2.3 |

4. Results and discussion

The compressive strength of Normal bricks is high when compared to the sewage sludge waste bricks. But the cost of sewage sludge bricks is very low when compared to normal or conventional bricks. And that to the sewage sludge waste is frequently available in the water treatment plants. That sewage sludge waste is generally not useful for anything and that it will create unhealthy conditions. So, when we use that as a ingredient in the brick making then the cost of bricks will reduce and also working process also will reduce some what.

The compressive strength of sun dried conventional bricks is about 4-15kg/cm². As well as the compressive strength of sewage sludge waste brick is about 2.5-8kg/cm²so, it cannot be useful for the high load acting places. But when we are going for small type of constructions like constructing a parapet wall and compound walls this bricks are highly recomended because they reduce the cost and they will also give good durability properties. Finally the bricks are prepared with this sewage sludge waste is economical and highly recomended for small type of construction works.

5. Conclusion

Dry sludge is useless, so we can reduce the price of bricks. We pick some houses after doing the practical are fit with soil. And the plastic limit will be zero. We also included the use of dry sludge in bricks in this challenge to substitute soil by up to 50 percent (10%, 20%, 30 percent, forty percent and 50 percent dry sludge). The Based on minimal laboratory experiments on Compressive and water absorption sludge, the following findings made on resistance of partly replaced by dry sludge. Energy of bricks Water absorption has reduced soil replacement by dry sludge by up to 20 percent. Compressive electricity increases when dry sludge substitution increases by a percentage when analyzing regular bricks. Replacement of soil with this dry sludge cloth from this mission offers the right compressive strength at 20 percent alternative to dry sludge.

For that reason, this challenge demonstrates that replacing the this dry sludge soil fabric The brick load reduces. And this is the end product of mild weight. The Usage of dry sludge in brick will shop for the disposal of Factories of ferrous and non-ferrous metals Contamination of land, expense and bringing a "greener" brick for manufacturing. Through this study, environmental impacts from waste and waste disposal problems can be decreased or managed. Through this mission, a better level is shaped with the support of progressive development content. Take out the soil from the mildew and collect the samples of soil for water content engagement from the top, middle and bottom sections. Area the soil lower back within the attempt, add 2 percent extra water Completely focused on the initial soil mass, and as in step three, re-mix. Repeat last 2 steps until a height value of compacted soil mass through some samples of lower compacted soil masses, it is reached.

Copyrights @Kalahari Journals

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Principal of Holymery Engineering College (HITS) for providing experimental facilities in the Department of Civil Engineering to undertake this research work. The author also thank anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments, which is resulted in complete presentation of present work

6. References

- [1] Alleman, J.E. and Berman, N. A."Constructive Sludge Management: Bio-brick," Journal *of the Environmental Engineering Division*, ASCE, Vol. 110, No. 2, Apr., 1984.
- [2] Bridle, T. R. and Campbell, H. W., "Conversion of Sewage Sludge to Liquid Fuel," *presented at the 7th Annual AQTE Conference*, Montreal, Quebec, 1984.
- [3] Parry, J., Brickmaking in Developing Countries, Building *Research Establish-ment*, Garston, England, 1979.
- [4] Feliciano, D. V., "Bringing About an End to Ocean Dumping," Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation, Vol. 53, Mar., 1984.
- [5] Yip, W.K. and Tay, J.H., 1990. Aggregate made from incinerated sludge residue. J. Mate- rials In Civ. Eng., Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., 2: 84-93.
- [6] Tay, J.H., 1984. Sludge and incinerator residue as building and construction materials. *In: Proc. Interclean '84 Conf.*, Singapore, pp. 252-261.
- [7] Alleman, J.E., Berman, N.A., 1984. Constructive sludge management: *Biobrick.Journal of Environmental Engineering* 110 (2), 301–311.
- [8] Kruse, E.A., Barrett, G.W., 1985. Effects of municipal sludge and fertilizer on heavymetal accumulation in earthworms. *Environmental Pollution Series A, Ecological and Biological* 38 (3), 235–244.
- [9] Montero, M.A., Jordan, M.M., Hernández-Crespo, M.S., Sanfeliu, T., 2009. The use ofsewage
- sludges and marble residues in the manufacture of ceramic tile bodies.*Applied Clay Science* 46, 404–408.
- [10] Suzuki, S., Tanaka, M., Kaneko, T., 1997. Glass-ceramic from sewage sludge ash. *Journal of Materials Science* 7 (32), 1775–1779.