

Piecewise Convex L_p , $0 < p < 1$, Approximation

Iktifa Diaa Jaleel and Eman Samir Bhaya

Mathematics Department. College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon.

Abstract

Many papers introduced to constrained approximation of convex continuous function by algebraic polynomials, here we approximate the convex function in L_p , $0 < p < 1$, quasi normed spaces using piecewise algebraic polynomials. Also we introduce some properties of these polynomials.

Keywords : L_p space , piecewise , convex approximation , derivative

1 Introduction

The accompanying old style Timan-Freud-Brudnyi Jackson type inequality for the approximation by algebraic polynomials [1, theorem 8-5-3]). Explains the request for the approximation turns out to be fundamentally better closed to the end point of $[-1, 1]$:

If $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{F} \in C^r$, then for all $n \geq \kappa + r - 1$, There is polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Pi_n$ Satisfy

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)| \leq c(\kappa, r) \Omega_n^r(x) \omega_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}^r, \Omega_n(x)), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \quad (1.2)$$

obviously, if we want to have interpolating approximation at the endpoints for f and its derivatives. We get better approximation degree.

As a direct consequence of corollary (2.3.4) in [2], we obtain the following Telyakovskii-gopengauze version theorem, for the literature review of the subject.

Theorem 1.3

In [2-Corollary 2-3-4]) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in C^r$, then for any $n \geq \max\{\kappa + r - 1, 2r + 1\}$, there is a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Pi_n$ such that (1.2) is valid and , more over

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)| \leq c(r, \kappa) \vartheta^{2r}(x) \omega_{\kappa} \left(\mathcal{F}, \vartheta_{\kappa}^2(x) n^{-\frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\kappa}} \right), \text{ if } 1 - n^{-2} \leq |x| \leq 1 \quad (1.4)$$

We get from theorem 3 in [2], that

If $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\vartheta_{\kappa}^2(x) n^{-\frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\kappa}}$ in (1.4) Cannot be replaced by $\vartheta^{2\mathcal{G}}(x) n^{\gamma}$ with $\mathcal{G} > 1/\kappa$. therefore, the estimate (1.4) offers the best approximation rate near the end point of closed interval $[-1, 1]$.

Now we have a question : Is the above inequalities true in the shape preserving approximation of q -monotone functions ?

The answer is that it is not true for an r and κ , even if we choose n depends on the target function f . This case if we have $1 \leq q \leq 3$, $0 \leq r \leq q - 1$ and $r + \kappa \geq q + 2$ in [3] if $q=1$ in [4] if $q=2$ or $q=3$) and $q > 4$ and $r + \kappa > 3$ in [5].

More finished, for any q , r , κ , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, There exists a function $\mathcal{F}_n \in C^r \cap \Delta^{(q)}$ such that (1.4) is not valid for any polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Pi_n \cap \Delta^{(q)}$

the development of such an \mathcal{F}_n is the same as in ([6], view also [7,8,9]).

This imply that, in the case $r \geq 1$, (1.4) cannot be true for each function $\mathcal{F} \in C^r \cap \Delta^{(q)}$ and all $n \geq N(\kappa, r, q)$.

We accentuate that this not imply that for each fixed $\mathcal{F} \in C^r \cap \Delta^{(q)}$ (1.4) is in true for adequately $q = \kappa = 2$ large n . i.e. (1.4) may still be true if $n \geq N(\mathcal{F})$. (is the principle result of this subject).

The case is different if we have κ is small and r equal to zero, and q, n are naturals. (1.2) and (1.4) are satisfied for $\kappa = 1$, which is follows from the case $\kappa = 2$, for q -monotone approximation.

Surely, from the interpolator estimate follow, [11,12] ($q=2$), [10] ($q = 1$) and [13] ($q \geq 3$): for any $q, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in C \cap \Delta^{(q)}$, there exist a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Pi_n \cap \Delta^{(q)}$ Such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)| \leq c(q) \omega_2 \left(\mathcal{F}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n} \right), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \quad (1.5)$$

Where $c > 0$. If we have $n \geq 2$ the estimates (1.2) and (1.4) are satisfied for $q = 2$ when r equal to zero and κ equal to 3, we find the result in [2], and case $q = 3$, $r = 0$ and $\kappa = 3$ or $\kappa = 4$ is no solution has yet been found (Actually unknown if (1.2) holds if $(q, r, \kappa) = (3, 0, 4)$).

Finally, I was able to show in [8] that (2.1) and (2.3) hold if $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa=2$ and $n \geq N(\mathcal{F}, r)$ for monotone approximation ($q = 1$).

define $L_p(I) = \{\mathcal{F}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \in L_p\}$, where I is closed interval between $-1, 1$. and $L_p^r(I) = \{\mathcal{F}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{F}^r \in L_p\}$

$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L_p} = (\int_{-1}^1 |\mathcal{F}(x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and interval I ,

$$\Delta_{ii}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}, x, I) := \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} (-1)^i \binom{\kappa}{i} f\left(x + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} - i\right)u\right), \text{ if } x \mp \frac{\kappa u}{2} \in I \text{ and } := 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

$w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}, t, I) := \sup_{0 < u < t} \|\Delta_{ii}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}, \cdot; I)\|_p$ is a measure of the smoothness modulus of f on I . $w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}, t) := w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}, t, I)$, $L_p^r = L_p^r(I)$, for any interval I we write $w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}, \delta, I)$.

We use $\vartheta(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2}$ and $\Omega_n(x) = \vartheta(x)n^{-1} + n^{-2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega_0 \equiv 1$

Π_n symbolizes the space of algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq n$.

A function $\mathcal{F}: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be κ -monotone, $\kappa \geq 1$ on $[a, b]$ if and only if for all choices of $\kappa + 1$ distinct points $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\kappa}$ in $[a, b]$ the inequality $\mathcal{F}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\kappa}] > 0$ holds, where $\mathcal{F}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\kappa}] = \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa} \frac{\mathcal{F}(x_j)}{w'(x_j)}$

$$I_{\iota} := I_{\iota, n} := [x_{\iota}, x_{\iota-1}], h_{\iota} := h_{\iota, n} := |I_{\iota, n}| = x_{\iota-1} - x_{\iota}$$

$$I_{i, \iota} := \bigcup_{\kappa=\min\{i, \iota\}}^{\max\{i, \iota\}} I_{\kappa} = [x_{\max\{i, \iota\}}, x_{\min\{i, \iota\}-1}], 1 \leq i, \iota \leq n$$

(the shortest interval containing both I_i and I_{ι})

$$x_{\iota} := x_{\iota, i} := \cos\left(\frac{\iota \pi}{n}\right), 0 \leq \iota \leq n, 1 \text{ for } \iota < 0 \text{ and } -1 \text{ for } \iota > n \text{ (chebyshev knots)}$$

$$h_{i, \iota} := |I_{i, \iota}| = \sum_{\kappa=\min\{i, \iota\}}^{\max\{i, \iota\}} h_{\kappa} = x_{\min\{i, \iota\}-1} - x_{\max\{i, \iota\}}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_j := \mathcal{T}(x) := \frac{|I_j|}{(|x - x_j| + |I_j|)}, \delta_n(x) := \min\{1, n\vartheta(x)\}$$

$\Phi^{\kappa} := \{\mathcal{T} \in C[0, \infty) | \mathcal{T} \uparrow, \mathcal{T}(0) = 0 \text{ and } t_2^{-\kappa} \mathcal{T}(t_2) \leq t_1^{-\kappa} \mathcal{T}(t_1) \text{ for } 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2\}$. Note: if $\mathcal{F} \in L_p^r$, then

$\Gamma(t) := t^r w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}, t)_p$ is equivalent to a function from $\Phi^{\kappa+r}$

$\Sigma_{\kappa} := \Sigma_{\kappa, n}$ denoted the $x_j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ piecewise polynomials of degree not exceeding $\kappa-1$, that are continuous.

$\Sigma_{\kappa}^{(1)} = \Sigma_{\kappa, n}^{(1)}$ denoted the set of all $x_j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ piecewise polynomials that have continuous derivatives

$\mathcal{P}_j := \mathcal{P}_j(\mathcal{S}) := \mathbb{S}|_{I_j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$ (\mathbb{S} is piecewise polynomials, of pieces $\mathcal{P}_j(x), x \in I_j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, and write $\mathbb{S}|_{I_j}$).

$$b_{i, j}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma) := \frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_{ij})} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}}\right)^{\kappa}, \text{ where } \Gamma \in \Phi^{\kappa}, \Gamma \not\equiv 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{S} \in \Sigma_{\kappa}.$$

$$b_{\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma, B) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \{b_{i, j}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma) | I_i \subset B \text{ and } I_j \subset B\},$$

Where an interval $B \subseteq [-1, 1]$ contains at least one interval I_{ν}

$$b_{\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma) := b(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma, I) = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} b_{i, j}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma)$$

$c(p) :=$ is an absolute constant depending on p , and is different from one step to others.

$c(\kappa, p) :=$ positive constant that are either may only depend on the parameters κ and p or absolute.

2 The Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma 2.1 [16]

$(n^{-1}\vartheta(x) < \Omega_n(x) < h_i < 5\Omega_n(x), x \in I_j), (h_{j \pm 1} < 3h_j)$.

Lemma 2.2 [13]

Using the same lines word by word used for (6.12), P.235 in [13], we get the following lemma for $0 < p < 1$, ($g \in L_p[-1, 1], \kappa \in \mathbb{N}, a \in [-1, 1]$ and $h > 0$ such that $a + (\kappa - 1)h \in [-1, 1]$).

$$\|g(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \left(1 + \frac{|x-a|}{h}\right)^{\kappa} (w_{\kappa}(g, h)_p + \|g\|_{[a, a+(\kappa-1)h]}) \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Lemma 2.3 [15]

$$(|B_v| \leq c\Gamma(h_j)\left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j}\right)^\kappa, x \in I_i, v = 1,2,3).$$

Lemma 2.4 [14]

$$(\Omega_n^2(x) < 4\Omega_n(x_j)(|x - x_j| + \Omega_n(x_j)) < 8h_j(|x - x_j| + \Omega_n(x)).$$

Lemma 2.5 [14]

$$(\Omega_n(x) + \text{dist}(x, I_j) \leq \Omega_n(x) + |x - x_j| \leq 16(\Omega_n(x) + \text{dist}(x, I_j)).$$

Lemma 2.6 [14]

$$(\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{T}_{j,n_1}(x) \equiv 1, x \in [-1,1]).$$

Lemma 2.7 [14]

$$(|\tilde{T}_{j,n_1}^{(q)}(x)| \leq c \frac{\delta_n^{\mathcal{S}_2}(x)}{\Omega_{n_1}^q(x)} \left(\frac{\Omega_{n_1}(x)}{\Omega_{n_1}(x) + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \right)^{\mathcal{G}_2}, 0 \leq q \leq \mathcal{S}_2).$$

Lemma 2.8 [14]

$$(b_{i,j}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma) := \frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{P}_j\|_{I_i}}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}}\right)^\kappa, 1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Lemma 2.9 [14]

$$(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\Omega_n(x)}{\Omega_n(x) + \text{dist}(x, x_j)}\right)^4 \leq c).$$

Lemma 2.10 [14]

$$(|\sigma_1(x)| \leq cb_\kappa(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma, B)\delta^Y \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q},$$

$$|\sigma_2(x)| \leq cb_\kappa(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma)\delta^Y \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q} \frac{n}{n_1} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega + \text{dist}(x, [-1,1]) \setminus B}\right)^{\gamma+1}$$

$$|\sigma_3(x)| \leq cb_\kappa(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma)\delta^Y \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Omega^q} \frac{n}{n_1} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\text{dist}(x, [-1,1]) \setminus B}\right)^{\gamma+1}.$$

Lemma 2.11 [16]

$$(1 - x_{j-1} < \int_{-1}^1 \mathcal{F}_j(t) dt < 1 - x_j, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

3 Properties of Piecewise Polynomials

Proposition(3.1)

Let $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{F} \in L_p(I)$ and $\mathcal{S} \in \Sigma_{\kappa, n}$, If $w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, t)_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(t)$ and $\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{S}\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(\Omega_n(x))$ then

$$b_\kappa(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma) \leq c(\kappa, p)$$

Proof.

Recalled that $\Gamma \not\equiv 0$, so that $\Gamma(x) > 0, x > 0$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$b_{i,j}(\mathcal{S}, \Gamma) \leq \frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F}\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}}\right)^\kappa + \frac{\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}}\right)^\kappa := \sigma_1 + \sigma_2,$$

$$\text{where } \|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F}\|_p = \left(\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{F})^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p = \left(\int_{-1}^1 (\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

we notice that now, for any $1 \leq v \leq n$, inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{S}(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(\Omega_n(x)), x \in [-1,1], \text{ and by(Auxiliary lemma (2.1)).}$$

$$\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n} < \Omega_n(x) < h_j < 5\Omega_n(x), x \in I_j, 1 \leq j \leq n, h_{i\mp 1} < 3h_i, 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$\|\mathcal{P}_v - \mathcal{F}\|_{p(I_v)} \leq c(p(I_v))\|\Gamma(\Omega_n)\|_{p(I_v)} \leq c(p(I_v))\Gamma(h_v)$$

Hence, $\sigma_1 \leq 1$, where we used the fact that if $h_i \leq h_j$, then $\Gamma(h_i) \leq \Gamma(h_j)$, and if $h_i > h_j$ then $\Gamma(h_i)/\Gamma(h_j) \leq h_i^k/h_j^k$.

To estimate σ_2 , let us remember the following fact: by using (Auxiliary lemma(2.2)) ($\|g(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \left(1 + \frac{|x-a|}{h}\right)^k (w_\kappa(g, h))_p + \|g\|_{[a, a+(k-1)h]} \times \in [-1, 1]$).

setting $a := x_j$, $g := \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j$, and $h := \frac{h_j}{\max\{1, \kappa-1\}}$, and note that $w_\kappa(g, h)_p = w_\kappa(\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j, h)_p = w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, h)_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h)$, we get

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_j(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \left(1 + \frac{|x-x_j|}{h_j}\right)^k \left(\Gamma(h_j) + \|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p\right), x \in I, \text{ where } I = [-1, 1].$$

and so, $\|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p \leq c(p) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j}\right)^k \Gamma(h_j)$. therefore, $\sigma_2 \leq c$.

Proposition(3.2)

Let $\kappa \geq 3$, $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$ and $\mathbb{S} \in \Sigma_{\kappa; n}^1$. Then $b_\kappa(\mathbb{S}) \leq c(p) \left\| \frac{\Omega^2 s''}{\Gamma(\Omega)} \right\|_p$,

Proof.

since $\mathcal{P}_j(x) = s(-1) + s'(-1)(x+1) + \int_{-1}^{x_j} (x-u)s''(u)du + \int_{x_j}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}''_j(u)du$. and

$$\mathcal{P}_i(x) = s(-1) + s'(-1)(x+1) + \int_{-1}^{x_i} (x-u)s''(u)du + \int_{x_i}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}''_i(u)du.$$

We have $\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i = \int_{x_i}^{x_j} (x-u)s''(u)du + \int_{x_j}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}''_j(u)du - \int_{x_i}^x (x-u)\mathcal{P}''_i(u)du =: B_1(x) + B_2(x) + B_3(x)$, then

$$\|\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i\|_p \leq c(p) (\|B_1(x)\|_p + \|B_2(x)\|_p + \|B_3(x)\|_p).$$

So by (Auxiliary lemma(2.3)) ($|B_v| \leq c\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j}\right)^\kappa, x \in I_i, v = 1, 2, 3$

$$\|B_3(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j}\right)^\kappa, \|B_2(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \frac{(h_{ij})^{\kappa-1}}{h_j^\kappa}.$$

$$\|B_1(x)\|_p \leq h_{ij} B_1, \text{ where } B_1 \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \frac{(h_{ij})^{\kappa-1}}{h_j^\kappa}$$

So $\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \{b_{i,j}(s, \Gamma)\} = b_\kappa(s, \Gamma)$

So $\frac{\|\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{ij}}\right)^\kappa =: b_{i,j}(s, \Gamma)$, we have $b_\kappa(s) \leq \|B_v(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j}\right)^\kappa, x \in I_i, v = 1, 2, 3$.

(i.e. $\|\mathcal{P}_j - \mathcal{P}_i\|_p \leq c(p)\Gamma(h_j) \left(\frac{h_{ij}}{h_j}\right)^\kappa$). This prove is complete

theorem(3.3)

Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma > 0, \Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$, and let $n, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{n_1}{n}$

If $\mathbb{S} \in \Sigma_{\kappa; n}$, Then there exist a polynomial $\mathcal{D}_{n_1}(\cdot, s)$ of degree $\leq cn_1$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n_1}(x, s)\|_p \leq c(p)\delta_n^\gamma(x)\Gamma(\Omega_n(x))b_\kappa(s, \Gamma) \tag{3.4}$$

Overtime, if $\mathbb{S} \in L_p^{r-1}(I), r \leq \kappa$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$B := [X_{M^*}, X_{M_*}], 0 \leq M_* \leq M^* \leq n$, then for all $x \in B \setminus \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$ and $0 \leq q \leq r$, we have

$$\|\mathbb{S}^{(q)}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n_1}^{(q)}(x)\|_p \leq c(p)\delta_n^\gamma(x) \frac{\Gamma(\Omega_n(x))}{\Omega_n^q(x)} (b_\kappa(s, \Gamma, B) + b_\kappa(s, \Gamma) n/n_1) \left\| \left(\frac{\Omega_n(x)}{\text{dist}(x, [-1, 1] \setminus B)}\right)^{\gamma+1} \right\|_p \tag{3.5}$$

The constants $c(p)$ is depending on p only and not on n .

Proof.

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{D}_{n_1} := \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{P}_j(x) \tilde{T}_{j, n_1}(x) \tag{3.6}$$

where \tilde{T}_{j, n_1} denoted the polynomials of degree $\leq c(\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{G}_2)$ from the statement of [14.corollary 7.2]. and $\mathcal{D}_{n_1}(\cdot, s)$ denoted the polynomial of degree $< \kappa + c(\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{G}_2)$. The parameters \mathcal{S}_2 and \mathcal{G}_2 are chosen to be sufficiently large and depend on γ and κ .

So let $\mathcal{S} = \gamma$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{S} + 4\kappa + 5\mathcal{S}$.so by(Auxiliary Lemma(2.4) and(2.5))

$$(\Omega_n^2(x) < 4\Omega_n(x_j)(|x - x_j| + \Omega_n(x_j)) < 8h_j(|x - x_j| + \Omega_n(x))$$

$$(\Omega_n(x) + \text{dist}(x, I_j) \leq \Omega_n(x) + |x - x_j| \leq 16(\Omega_n(x) + \text{dist}(x, I_j))).$$

Imply

$$\frac{h_\nu}{h_j} < 5 \frac{\Omega}{h_j} < 40 \frac{|x-x_j|+\Omega}{\Omega} \sim \frac{\Omega+\text{dist}(x,I_j)}{\Omega}, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq \nu \leq n \quad (3.7)$$

Also

$$\frac{h_{\nu,j}}{h_\nu} \leq c(p) \frac{\Omega+\text{dist}(x,I_j)}{\Omega}, 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed, if $|j - \nu| \leq 1$, then by (Auxiliary Lemma (2.1))

Implies that $h_{\nu,j} \sim h_\nu$.

If $|j - \nu| \geq 2$, since we have unique I_i between I_ν and I_j and then using by (Auxiliary Lemma(2.1))to get:

$$h_{\nu,j} = h_\nu + h_j + \text{dist}(I_\nu, I_j) \leq h_\nu + 4\text{dist}(I_\nu, I_j)$$

$\leq h_\nu + \text{dist}(x, I_j)$, and by (3.5) follows .

Since $\mathbb{S}(x) = p_\nu(x)$, (Auxiliary lemma(2.6))

$(\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{T}_{j,n_1}(x) \equiv 1)$ implies

$$\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n_1}(x, \mathbb{s}) = \mathbb{S}(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{T}_j(x) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq \nu} \mathcal{P}_j(x) \tilde{T}_j(x), \text{ And so}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{(q)}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n_1}^{(q)}(x, \mathbb{s}) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq \nu} \left((\mathcal{P}_\nu(x) - \mathcal{P}_j(x)) \tilde{T}_j(x) \right)^{(q)} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq \nu} \sum_{i=0}^q \binom{q}{i} (\mathcal{P}_\nu^{(i)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_j^{(i)}(x)) \tilde{T}_j^{(q-i)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

With the assumption that $x \notin \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$, if $q \geq 1$, since $\mathbb{S}^{(q)}$ that is not exist at those point. note also that $x \in D_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq \nu$, and(Auxiliary Lemma(2.7))

$$\left\| \tilde{T}_{j,n_1}^{(q)}(x) \right\|_p \leq c(p) \frac{\delta_n^{\mathcal{S}_2}(x)}{\Omega_n^q(x)} \left(\frac{\Omega_{n_1}(x)}{\Omega_{n_1}(x) + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \right)^{\mathcal{G}_2}, 0 \leq q \leq \mathcal{S}_2 \text{ can be used}$$

for any \tilde{T}_j above. since $\Gamma(h_j) \leq \Gamma(h_{\nu,j}) \leq \Gamma(h_\nu) \left(\frac{h_{\nu,j}}{h_\nu} \right)^\kappa \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega) \left(\frac{h_{\nu,j}}{h_\nu} \right)^\kappa$,

it follow from [14],Auxiliary Lemma (2.8)] $b_{i,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) = \frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_i}{h_{i,j}} \right)^{\kappa k}$ and [Lemma(3.1)],(3.7)and(3.8) for all $i \geq 0$.

$$\left\| \mathcal{P}_\nu^{(i)} - \mathcal{P}_j^{(i)} \right\|_{p(I_\nu)} \leq c(p) h_\nu^{-i} \left\| \mathcal{P}_\nu - \mathcal{P}_j \right\|_{p(I_\nu)}$$

$$\leq c(p) b_{\nu,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) \frac{\Gamma(h_j)}{h_\nu^i} \left(\frac{h_{\nu,j}}{h_j} \right)^\kappa \quad (3.9)$$

$$\leq c(p) b_{\nu,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^i} \left(\frac{h_{\nu,j}}{h_\nu h_j} \right)^\kappa$$

$$\leq c(p) b_{\nu,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^i} \left(\frac{\Omega + \text{dist}(x, I_j)}{\Omega} \right)^{3\kappa}.$$

$$\text{observing that } \frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \leq \frac{\Omega}{\Omega + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \quad (3.10)$$

and using (Auxiliary Lemma(2.7)).we now conclude that ,for all

$$0 \leq i \leq q \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq \nu, \left\| (\mathcal{P}_\nu^{(i)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_j^{(i)}(x)) \tilde{T}_j^{(q-i)}(x) \right\|_p$$

$$\leq c(p) b_{\nu,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) \delta^{\mathcal{S}_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^i \Omega_1^{q-i}} \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \right)^{\mathcal{G}_2 - 3\kappa}, \text{ If } i = q, \text{ this become}$$

$$\left\| (\mathcal{P}_\nu^{(q)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_j^{(q)}(x)) \tilde{T}_j(x) \right\|_p \leq c(p) b_{\nu,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) \delta^{\mathcal{S}_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q} \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \right)^{\mathcal{G}_2 - 3\kappa} \quad (3.11)$$

and ,in particular , if $i = q = 0$,

$$\left\| (\mathcal{P}_\nu(x) - \mathcal{P}_j(x)) \tilde{T}_j(x) \right\|_p \leq c(p) b_{\nu,j}(\mathbb{s}, \Gamma) \delta^{\mathcal{S}_2} \Gamma(\Omega) \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + \text{dist}(x, I_j)} \right)^{\mathcal{G}_2 - 3\kappa} \quad (3.12)$$

If we assume $j \neq s \pm 1$, we get $dist(x, I_j) > \Omega/3$ therefore $\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega} \leq \frac{n}{n_1}$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\mathcal{P}_v^{(i)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_j^{(i)}(x) \right) \tilde{T}_j^{(q-i)}(x) \right\|_p \leq c(p) b_{v,j}(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega) \Omega_1}{\Omega^q} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega_1 + dist(x, I_j)} \right)^{q-i+1} \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + dist(x, I_j)} \right)^{\delta_2 - 3\kappa - q + i - 1} \\ & \leq c(p) b_{v,j}(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega) n}{\Omega^q n_1} \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + dist(x, I_j)} \right)^{\delta_2 - 3\kappa - q - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Now let us study the case $q \geq 1, i \leq q - 1$ and $j = v \pm 1$,

we study the case $j = v + 1$, the case $j = v - 1$ of study similar to above. Since \mathbb{S} is smooth. In fact

If $\mathbb{S} \in L_p^{q-1}[-1, 1]$, we have $\mathcal{P}_v^{(i)}(x_v) = \mathcal{P}_{v+1}^{(i)}(x_v), 0 \leq i \leq q - 1$ and so by (3.4), (3.10).

$$\left\| \mathcal{P}_v^{(i)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_{v+1}^{(i)}(x) \right\|_p = \left\| \frac{1}{(q-i-1)!} \int_{x_v}^x (x-u)^{q-i-1} (\mathcal{P}^{(q)}(u) - \mathcal{P}_{v+1}^{(q)}(u)) du \right\|_p$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(q-i-1)!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - u|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |p_v(x_i) - p_{v+1}(x_i)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_v, \mathcal{P}_{v+1}, x - u$ are polynomials so

$$\leq \frac{c(p)}{(q-i-1)!} \|x - u\|_{p(I_v)} \left\| \mathcal{P}_v^{(q)}(u) - \mathcal{P}_{v+1}^{(q)}(u) \right\|_{p(I_v)}, \text{ where } 0 < p < 1.$$

$$\leq \frac{c(p)}{(q-i-1)!} \|x - u\|_{p(I_v)} b_{v,v+1}(s, \Gamma) \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q} \left(\frac{\Omega + |x - x_v|}{\Omega} \right)^{3\kappa},$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \left\| \left(\mathcal{P}_v^{(i)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_{v+1}^{(i)}(x) \right) \tilde{T}_{v+1}^{(q-i)}(x) \right\|_p \leq c(p) b_{v,v+1}(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q \Omega^{q-i}} \left\| (x-u)_{p(I_v)} \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + |x - x_v|} \right)^{\delta_2 - 3\kappa} \right\|_{p(I_v)}$$

In summary, the estimate $\left\| \left(\mathcal{P}_v^{(i)}(x) - \mathcal{P}_{v\pm 1}^{(i)}(x) \right) \tilde{T}_{v\pm 1}^{(q-i)}(x) \right\|_p \leq$

$$c(p) b_{v,v\pm 1} \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q} \left\| \left(\frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 + dist(x, I_{v\pm 1})} \right)^{\delta_2 - 3\kappa - q} \right\|_p \quad (3.14)$$

is valid for all $0 \leq i \leq q$ provided that $\mathbb{S} \in L_p^{q-1}[-1, 1]$

(for $i = q$ it follows from (3.4), (3.13), (3.12), (3.11)] and using

(Auxiliary Lemma(2.9)) and the estimate $b_{v,j}(s, \Gamma)$, we have

$$\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n1}(x, s)\|_p \leq c(p) b_k(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \Gamma(\Omega) \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq v} \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega + dist(x, I_i)} \right)^{\delta_2 - 3\kappa} \right\|_p \quad (3.15)$$

$\leq c(p) b_k(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \Gamma(\Omega)$, and (3.5) is proved.

Let us now estimate (3.6). Assume $\mathbb{S} \in L_p^{r-1}[-1, 1]$ and $0 \leq q \leq r$

we write $\mathbb{S}^{(q)}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n1}^{(q)}(x, s) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq v} \left(\mathcal{P}_v(x) - \mathcal{P}_i(x) \right) \tilde{T}_i^{(q)}(x)^{(q)}$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \left(\sum_{j \in Z_1} + \sum_{j \in Z_2} + \sum_{j \in Z_3} + \sum_{j \in Z_4} \right) \left(\mathcal{P}_v(x) - \mathcal{P}_j(x) \right) \tilde{T}_j^{(q)}(x)^{(q)} \\ & \left\| \mathbb{S}^{(q)}(x) - \mathcal{D}_{n1}^{(q)}(x, s) \right\|_p =: \sigma_1(x) + \sigma_2(x) + \sigma_3(x) + \sigma_4(x), \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$Z_1 = \{j | 1 \leq j \leq n, I_j \subset B, j \neq v, v \pm 1\}$$

$$Z_2 = \{j | 1 \leq j \leq n, I_j \not\subset B, j \neq v, v \pm 1\}$$

$$Z_3 = \{j | 1 \leq j \leq n, j = v + 1\}$$

$$Z_4 = \{j | 1 \leq j \leq n, j = v - 1\}.$$

so by (Auxiliary Lemma (2.10)), $\|\sigma_1(x)\|_p \leq c(p) b_k(s, \Gamma, B) \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega)}{\Omega^q}$ also

$$\|\sigma_2(x)\|_p \leq c(p) b_k(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega) n}{\Omega^q n_1} \left\| \left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega + dist(x, [-1, 1] \setminus B)} \right)^{\delta_2 + 1} \right\|_p$$

$$\|\sigma_3(x)\|_p \leq c(p) b_k(s, \Gamma) \delta^{\delta_2} \frac{\Gamma(\Omega) n}{\Omega^q n_1} \left\| \left(\frac{\Omega}{dist(x, [-1, 1] \setminus B)} \right)^{\delta_2 + 1} \right\|_p$$

similarly $\|\sigma_4(x)\|_p$ is completely analogous ,so the prove of this theorem is complete .

4 L_p convex approximation by piecewise polynomial

theorem(4.1)

Let $\mathcal{S} > 0$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$, be given. If $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\kappa,n} \cap \Delta^{(2)}$, is such that $\|\mathbb{S}''(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \frac{\Gamma(\Omega_n(x))}{\Omega_n^2(x)}$, $x \in [x_{n-1}, x_1] \setminus \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$
(4.2)

$$0 \leq \mathbb{S}'(x_j +) - \mathbb{S}'(x_j -) \leq \frac{\Gamma(\Omega_n(x_j))}{\Omega_n(x_j)}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \quad (4.3) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{S}''(x) = 0, x \in [-1, x_{n-1}] \cup (x_1, 1] \quad (4.4)$$

Then there is a polynomial $\mathcal{P} \in \Delta^{(2)} \cap \pi_{cn}$, $c = c(p, \kappa, \mathcal{S})$, such that $\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p \leq c(p, \kappa, \mathcal{S}) \delta_n^{\mathcal{S}}(x) \Gamma(\Omega_n(x))$, $x \in [-1, 1]$
(4.5)

Proof.

Let \mathbb{S}_1 is denote continuous piecewise linear function interpolates \mathbb{S} at the points x_j , $0 \leq j \leq n$, and $t_j = \mathbb{S}_1/I_j$ then

$$\mathbb{S}_1 \in \Delta^{(2)}, \mathbb{S}_1(x) = \mathbb{S}(x), x \in I_1 \cup I_n \quad (4.6)$$

And , for $x \in I_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, using Whitney's inequality and (Auxiliary lemma(2.1)) ($n^{-1}\vartheta(x) < \Omega_n(x) < h_i < 5\Omega_n(x)$), $x \in I_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathbb{S}_1(x)\|_{p(I_j)} &\leq c(p) \omega_2(\mathbb{S}, h_j, I_j)_p \\ &\leq c(p) h_j \omega_1(\mathbb{S}', h_j, I_j) \leq c(p) h_j^2 \|\mathbb{S}''\|_{p(I_j)}, \text{ so by using } \|\mathbb{S}''\|_p \leq c(p) \frac{\Gamma(h_j)}{h_j^2} \end{aligned}$$

We get $\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathbb{S}_1(x)\|_{p(I_j)} \leq c(p) \Gamma(h_j)$, So we have by proposition

$$(3.1), \|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathbb{S}_1(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega_n(x)), x \in [-1, 1] \quad (4.7)$$

So we can be write \mathbb{S}_1 as

$$\mathbb{S}_1(x) = \mathbb{S}_1(-1) + \mathbb{S}'_1(-1)(x+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_j \Phi_j(x),$$

$\mathcal{S}_j := \mathbb{S}'_1(x_j+) - \mathbb{S}'_1(x_j-)$, note that, by Markov and Whitney

$$\|\mathcal{P}'\|_p \leq c(p, n) n^2 \|p\|_p .$$

$$0 \leq \mathcal{S}_j = t'_j(x_j) - t'_{j+1}(x_j) \leq c(p) h_j^{-1} \|t_j - t_{j+1}\|_{p(I_j \cup I_{j+1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c(p) h_j^{-1} \omega_2(\mathbb{S}, h_j, p(I_j \cup I_{j+1}))_p \\ &\leq c(p) h_j \left(\|\mathbb{S}''\|_{p(I_j)} + \|\mathbb{S}''\|_{p(I_{j+1})} \right) + c(p) (\mathbb{S}'(x_j+) - \mathbb{S}'(x_j-)) \\ &\leq c(p) h_j^{-1} \Gamma(h_j), 1 \leq j \leq n-1 . \end{aligned}$$

Now , if $\mathcal{P}(x) := \mathbb{S}_1(-1) + \mathbb{S}'_1(-1)(x+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_j F_j(x)$,

So that \mathcal{P} is a polynomial of degree not exceeding cn , and also convex. and using [proposition (3.1) (4.6) and (4.7)], we only need to estimate $\|\mathbb{S}_1(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p$. Note that the inequality $(c \mathcal{T}_j^2(x) \Omega_n(x) \leq h_j \leq c \mathcal{T}_j^{-1}(x) \Omega_n(x))$ implies, For all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$\Gamma(h_j) \leq \Gamma(c \mathcal{T}_j^{-1}(x) \Omega_n(x)) \leq c \mathcal{T}_j^{-k}(x) \Gamma(n(x))$. Hence by (Auxiliary lemma(2.11), (2.18)), We have

$$\|\mathbb{S}_1(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_j |\Phi_j(x) - F_j(x)| \leq c(p) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(h_j) \delta_n^{\mathcal{S}}(x) \mathcal{T}_j^{\mathcal{G}}(x)$$

$$\leq c(p) \delta_n^{\mathcal{S}} \Gamma(\Omega_n(x)) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{T}_j^{\mathcal{G}-k}(x)$$

$$\leq c(p) \delta_n^{\mathcal{S}}(x) \Gamma(\Omega_n(x)), \text{ when } \mathcal{G} \geq k+2 .$$

Reference

- [1] R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive approximation*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 303, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] K. A. Kopotun, Pointwise and uniform estimates for convex approximation of functions by algebraic polynomial, *Constr. Approx.* 10 (1994), 153–178.
- [3] D. Leviatan and I. A. Shevchuk, Monotone approximation estimates involving the third modulus of smoothness, *Approximation theory IX, Vol. I.* (Nashville, TN, 1998), *Innov. Appl. Math.*, Vanderbilt Univ. Press, Nashville, TN, 1998, pp. 223–230.
- [4] L. P. Yushchenko, A counterexample in convex approximation, *Ukraïn. Mat. Zh.* 52 (2000), no. 12, 1715–1721 (Ukrainian, with English and Ukrainian summaries); English transl., *Ukrainian Math. J.* 52 (2000), no. 12, 1956–1963 (2001).
- [5] A. V. Bondarenko and A. V. Prymak, Negative results in shape-preserving higher-order approximations, *Mat. Zametki* 76 (2004), no. 6, 812–823 (Russian, with Russian summary); English transl., *Math. Notes* 76 (2004), no. 5-6, 758–769.
- [6] , Interpolatory estimates for convex piecewise polynomial approximation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 474 (2019), no. 1, 467–479.
- [7] H. H. Gonska, D. Leviatan, I. A. Shevchuk, and H.-J. Wenz, Interpolatory pointwise estimates for polynomial approximations, *Constr. Approx.* 16 (2000), 603–629.
- [8] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, A. Prymak, and I. A. Shevchuk, Uniform and pointwise shape preserving approximation by algebraic polynomials, *Surv. Approx. Theory* 6 (2011), 24–74.
- [9] T. O. Petrova, A counterexample to convex interpolation approximation, *Theory of the approximation of functions and related problems* (Ukrainian), *Pr. Inst. Mat. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Zastos.*, vol. 35, *Natsional. Akad. Nauk Ukraïni, Inst. Mat.*, Kiev, 2002, pp. 107–112 (Ukrainian, with Ukrainian summary).
- [10] R. A. DeVore and X. M. Yu, Pointwise estimates for monotone polynomial approximation, *Constr. Approx.* 1 (1985), 323–331.
- [11] D. Leviatan, Pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 98 (1986), no. 3, 471–474.
- [12] X. M. Yu, Pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation, *Approx. Theory Appl.* 1 (1985), no. 4, 65–74.
- [13] J. D. Cao and H. H. Gonska, Pointwise estimates for higher order convexity preserving polynomial approximation, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B* 36 (1994), no. 2, 213–233.
- [14] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, and I. A. Shevchuk, Interpolatory pointwise estimates for monotone polynomial approximation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 459 (2018), no. 2, 1260–1295.
- [15] G. A. Dzyubenko, D. Leviatan, and I. A. Shevchuk, Pointwise estimates of coconvex approximation, *Jaen J. Approx.* 6 (2014), 261–295.
- [16] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, Petrova, I.L. et al. Interpolatory pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation. *Acta Math, Hungar.*(2020).