

New builds on pseudo topological vector spaces (T^{\approx} pVS Spaces)

Intesar Harbi¹ and AL-Nafie Z. D. ^{2*}

^{1,2}University of Babylon, College of education for pure science, Iraq.

Abstract. This work deals with introducing a new notion of manifold, that is pseudo manifold building on pseudo topological vector spaces (which are separated, locally convex, pseudo metrizable, p-paracompact, equable, p-regular and admissible spaces).

INTRODUCTION Pseudo topological vector spaces introduced in 1966 by Frölicher, A. & Walter B [1] also several definitions have been given of pseudo topological vector spaces [2], [3]. Here we have adopted the definition presented in [4]. We also adopted the definition of the derivability for the functions defined between these spaces, which were presented in the research [5]. This study deals with how to construct the manifold on the pseudo topological vector space and discusses some issues related to this construction, as well as opened a wide field for studying partition of unit, which has wide applications in mathematics.

Keyword: Filter, \tilde{C}^{∞} chart, \tilde{C}^{∞} atlas and Pseudo topological manifold.

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 3.1[1]: Let \mathcal{E} be vector space over R , a filter in \mathcal{E} is a nonempty set \mathfrak{F} of subsets of \mathcal{E} , such that: The empty set is not belonging to \mathfrak{F} ; if $X_1 \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $X_1 \subset X_2 \Rightarrow X_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$; and if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{F} \Rightarrow X_1 \cap X_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the system of all filters on \mathcal{E} .

Definition 3.2[1]: A filter-basis in \mathcal{E} is a nonempty set β of subsets of \mathcal{E} that satisfies the conditions: $\emptyset \notin \beta$ and, for all $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in \beta$ there exists $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \beta$ such that $\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 \supset \mathcal{B}_3$.

Definition 3.3[1]: The filter \mathfrak{F} is said to be generated by a filter-basis β if it consists of all subsets of \mathcal{E} containing a set from β , and denoted as: $\mathfrak{F} = [\beta] = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{E} : B \in \beta, B \subseteq A\}$, and for any $a \in \mathcal{E}$, $[a]$ is a filter denoted by: $[a] = \{A \subseteq \mathcal{E} : a \in A\}$.

Definition 3.4[1]: A pseudo topology τ on \mathcal{E} is a map $\tau: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E})}$, $m \mapsto \tau(m)$. If $\mathfrak{F} \in \tau(m)$, we say that \mathfrak{F} converges to m in τ and we write $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow_m$ (Or $\mathfrak{F} \in \tau(m)$) and the next conditions hold for any filters \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{p} :

- (1) $[m] \downarrow_m$, where $[m] = \{\mu \subseteq \mathcal{E}, m \in \mu\}$;
- (2) $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow_m, \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \downarrow_m$;
- (3) $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow_m, \mathfrak{p} \downarrow_m \Rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{p} \downarrow_m$.

We simply write $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow$ instead of $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow_0$.

Definition 3.5[1]: The pseudo topological vector space (briefly, T_{pVS}) can be defined in a natural way. A T_{pVS} is a vector space together with a compatible pseudo topology on it. The pseudo topology is called compatible with the vector space structure if the two maps $(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{+} \mathcal{E}, R \times \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \mathcal{E})$ are continuous.

Definition 3.6[3]: Let (\mathcal{E}, τ) be a T_{pVS} and a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ can be call open set if $\mathcal{A} = \text{int}(\mathcal{A})$. The interior $\text{int}(\mathcal{A})$ of a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ can be denoted as: $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) = \{m \in \mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{F} \in \tau(m) \text{ implies } \mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}\}$.

Definition 3.7[3]: Let (\mathcal{E}, τ) be a T_{pVS} and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, then \mathcal{A} is closed set if

$$\mathcal{A} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{\mathcal{A}} = (\text{CL } \mathcal{A}) = \{m \in \mathcal{E} : \exists \mathfrak{p} \downarrow_m \mathcal{E} \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Definition 3.8[2]: Let \mathcal{E} a vector space over R . Then a map $\|\cdot\|_p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow R^+, x \mapsto \|x\|_p$ is said to be a pseudo-seminorm (in brief, PSN) iff the following properties are satisfied: For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\text{For all } \alpha \in R - \{0\}, |\alpha| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \|\alpha \cdot x\|_p \leq \|x\|_p; \|x + y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p;$$

$$\text{And } \|x\|_p > 0.$$

Definition 3.9[2]: A pseudo metric space is a set \mathcal{E} together with a function

$$\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow R^+ \text{ which is satisfies: } \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}$$

$$\mathfrak{d}(x, y) > 0; \mathfrak{d}(x, y) = \mathfrak{d}(y, x); \text{ and } \mathfrak{d}(x, y) + \mathfrak{d}(y, z) \geq \mathfrak{d}(x, z).$$

Definition 3.10[2]: A pseudo topological vector space \mathcal{E} is said to be pseudo-metrizable if its topology induced by the pseudo metric $\mathfrak{d}: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow R^+ : \forall x, y \in \mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{d}(x, y) := \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} 2^{-p} \frac{\|x-y\|_p}{1+\|x-y\|_p}$. Such that $\{\|x\|_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable family of pseudo

seminorms on \mathcal{E} . It is clear that \mathfrak{d} is a translation invariant. The collection of all open balls: $B_{1/r}^p(x) = \{y \in \mathcal{E} : \|x - y\|_p < \frac{1}{r}\}, x \in \mathcal{E}, r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, is a base for the pseudo topology of \mathcal{E} .

Definition 3.11[1]: Let \mathfrak{F} be a filter in \mathcal{E} . The filter \mathfrak{F} is said to be equable if $\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}$, such that \mathfrak{r} is a filter neighborhood of a zero.

Definition 3.12[1]: A $T_{pVS} \mathcal{E}$ is called equable if and only if for each filter \mathfrak{F} with

$\mathfrak{F} \downarrow (\exists \text{ an equable filter } \mathfrak{p}) \mathfrak{p} \geq \mathfrak{F}$ with $\mathfrak{p} \downarrow \mathcal{E}, (\mathcal{E}^\#, \tau^\#)$ is equable pseudo topological vector space.

Definition 3.13[1]: A $T_{pVS} (\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ is called a separated, If $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow_m \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow_n \mathcal{E}$, then $m = n$ [i.e. if $m \neq n$, then $\tau(m) \cap \tau(n) = \emptyset$].

Definition 3.14[1]: A $T_{pVS} \mathcal{E}$ is called admissible if and only if it satisfies the condition: If $\mathfrak{F} \downarrow \mathcal{E}$ then, $CL(\mathfrak{F}) \downarrow \mathcal{E}$.

Notation 3.15[5]: A separated locally convex and pseudo metrizable T_{pVS} is $T_{pVS}^\#$ if it is equable and admissible space. And from now on we suppose that $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots$ are always $T_{pVS}^\#$.

Definition 3.16[1]: Let $(\mathcal{E}_1, \tau_1), (\mathcal{E}_2, \tau_2)$ be a $T_{pVS}^\#$. The map $f: (\mathcal{E}_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_2, \tau_2)$ is 1-differentiable at the point a if there exist a map $\ell \in \underline{L}(\mathcal{E}_1; \mathcal{E}_2)$ such that the map r defined by $f(a + h) = f(a) + \ell(h) + r(h)$ is remainder. The map $\ell \in \underline{L}(\mathcal{E}_1; \mathcal{E}_2)$ is uniquely determined, it is then called the derivative of f at the point a , and it is denoted by: $\ell = \hat{f}(a)$.

Definition 3.17[5]: Let (\mathcal{E}_1, τ_1) and (\mathcal{E}_2, τ_2) be a $T_{pVS}^\#$, a map $f: \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ is m -differentiable at a point a , then: $D^m f(a) = D(D^{m-1} f(a))$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.18[5]: The map f between \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 is $\tilde{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$, smooth map if it is $\tilde{C}^m(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\tilde{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ to be the projective limit of this system, $\tilde{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = \bigcap_{m=0}^\infty \tilde{C}^m(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ [$\mathcal{F} \downarrow \tilde{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ iff $\mathcal{F} \downarrow \tilde{C}^m(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. $\tilde{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) \subset \tilde{C}^m(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) \subset \tilde{C}^0(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$].

Definition 3.19[5]: Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ are $T_{pVS}^\#$, and let $f: \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ be a bijection map, we say that f is a diffeomorphism if f and f^{-1} are differentiable of class $\tilde{C}^\infty(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2)$.

Definition 3.20[5]: Let M be a pseudo topological space. A chart (or coordinate chart) (u, α) in M is called \tilde{C}^∞ chart if α is a diffeomorphism map of u onto $\alpha(u)$ open subset of some $T_{pVS}^\#$.

4-Main result:

Definition 4.1: Let (u, α) and (v, β) are two \tilde{C}^∞ charts on M such that $u \cap v \neq \emptyset$ then the composite map $\beta \circ \alpha^{-1}: \alpha(v \cap u) \rightarrow \beta(u \cap v)$ (called transition map from α to β) is a composition of diffeomorphism, and is itself a diffeomorphism.

Definition 4.2: Two \tilde{C}^∞ charts $(u, \varphi), (v, \theta)$ of a pseudo manifold are smooth compatible if the two maps:

$\theta \circ \varphi^{-1}: \varphi(u \cap v) \rightarrow \theta(u \cap v), \varphi \circ \theta^{-1}: \theta(u \cap v) \rightarrow \varphi(u \cap v)$ are smooth of class \tilde{C}^∞ .

Definition 4.3: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(u_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of \tilde{C}^∞ charts on M . We call \mathcal{A} an atlas of class \tilde{C}^∞ (or \tilde{C}^∞ atlas) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1- $\bigcup_{i \in I} u_i = M$.
- 2- The sets of the form $\alpha_i(u_i \cap u_j)$ for $i, j \in I$ are all open in \mathcal{E} .
- 3- Whenever $u_i \cap u_j$ is not empty, the map

$\alpha_j \circ \alpha_i^{-1}: \alpha_i(u_i \cap u_j) \rightarrow \alpha_j(u_i \cap u_j)$ is a \tilde{C}^∞ diffeomorphism.

Definition 4.4: Two \tilde{C}^∞ atlases A and A_1 on M are compatible iff every \tilde{C}^∞ chart of one is compatible with the other \tilde{C}^∞ atlas.

This is equivalent to saying that the union $A \cup A_1$ is still a smooth atlas.

And a \tilde{C}^∞ chart (u, α) is compatible with smooth atlas $A = \{(u_a, \alpha_a)_{a \in I}\}$ if and only if $A \cup (u, \alpha)$ is a \tilde{C}^∞ atlas.

Definition 4.5: A smooth atlas \mathcal{A} on M is maximal if every \tilde{C}^∞ chart that is smoothly compatible with every \tilde{C}^∞ chart in \mathcal{A} is already in \mathcal{A} .

Definition 4.6: A pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ is a pair (M, \mathcal{A}) where M is a pseudo topological manifold and \mathcal{A} is a smooth structure (maximal \tilde{C}^∞ atlas) on M .

Remark 4.7: If \mathcal{A} is some \tilde{C}^∞ atlas for pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$, and (U, ϕ) is a smooth chart in \mathcal{A} , for any nonempty open subset, $V \subseteq U$, we get a chart, $(V, \phi|_V)$, and it is clear that this \tilde{C}^∞ chart is compatible with \mathcal{A} . Thus, $(V, \phi|_V)$ is also a chart for pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$. This observation clarifies that if U is any open subset of a smooth M , then U is also a smooth whose \tilde{C}^∞ charts are the restrictions of \tilde{C}^∞ charts on M to U .

Proposition 4.8: Let M be a pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ , and let (u_1, α_1) be a smooth compatible with (u_2, α_2) and (u_2, α_2) is smooth compatible with (u_3, α_3) in a \tilde{C}^∞ atlas \mathcal{A} , then (u_1, α_1) be a smooth compatible with (u_3, α_3) on $\alpha_1(u_1 \cap u_2 \cap u_3)$.

Proof: Since (u_1, α_1) be a smooth compatible with (u_2, α_2) then the map

$\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1^{-1}: \alpha_1(u_1 \cap u_2) \rightarrow \alpha_2(u_1 \cap u_2)$ is smooth and, since (u_2, α_2) be a smooth compatible with (u_3, α_3) then the map $\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2^{-1}: \alpha_2(u_2 \cap u_3) \rightarrow \alpha_3(u_2 \cap u_3)$ is smooth, and the open set $u_1 \cap u_2 \cap u_3$ is subset of $u_1 \cap u_2$ and subset of $u_2 \cap u_3$, and the map $\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_1^{-1} = (\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2^{-1}) \circ (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1^{-1})$ is composite smooth maps then its smooth map on $\alpha_1(u_1 \cap u_2 \cap u_3)$, hence (u_1, α_1) be a smooth compatible with (u_3, α_3) on $\alpha_1(u_1 \cap u_2 \cap u_3)$.

Lemma 4.9: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(u_\delta, \xi_\delta)\}$ be an \tilde{C}^∞ atlas on a pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$. If two \tilde{C}^∞ charts (v, ψ) and (ω, φ) are both smooth compatible with the \tilde{C}^∞ atlas, $\mathcal{A} = \{(u_\delta, \xi_\delta)\}$, then they are smooth compatible with each other.

Proof: Let $p \in v \cap \omega$. We need to show that $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is smooth at $\psi(p)$. Since $\mathcal{A} = \{(u_\delta, \xi_\delta)\}$ is an \tilde{C}^∞ -atlas for M , $p \in u_\delta$ for some δ . Then, $p \in v \cap \omega \cap u_\delta$. By the proposition above, $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1} = (\varphi \circ \xi_\delta^{-1}) \circ (\xi_\delta \circ \psi^{-1})$ is smooth on $\psi(v \cap \omega \cap u_\delta)$, hence at $\psi(p)$. Since p is an arbitrary point of $v \cap \omega$, this proves that $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is smooth on $\psi(v \cap \omega)$. Similarly, $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth on $\varphi(v \cap \omega)$. Therefore, (v, ψ) and (ω, φ) are both smooth compatible.

Proposition 4.10: Let $u \subset M$ be open set. If $\alpha: u \rightarrow \alpha(u) \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, then (u, α) is a smooth chart in the smooth atlas of pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$.

Proof: Let (u_a, α_a) be a smooth chart in smooth atlas of M , then $\alpha \circ \alpha_a^{-1}$ and $\alpha_a \circ \alpha^{-1}$ are smooth maps. Then, (u, α) is smooth compatible with the smooth atlas. And from maximality of the smooth atlas of M , then the smooth chart (u, α) is in the smooth atlas of pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$.

Definition 4.11: Let $f: M \rightarrow R$ is smooth function (or \tilde{C}^∞ differentiable) on M if and only if the composite function $f \circ \alpha^{-1}: v \rightarrow R$ is smooth such that

$$\alpha: u \rightarrow v \subset \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \text{ is } T^{\#}_{pVS}.$$

Definition 4.12: Let M and N be pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ on a $T^{\#}_{pVS} \mathcal{E}_1$ and \mathcal{E}_2 respectively and let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a map. If every point $p \in M$ has a neighborhood u such that the restriction $F|_u$ is smooth, then F is smooth. Conversely, if F is smooth, then its restriction to any open subset of M is smooth.

And we can say F is smooth if for every point $p \in M$ there exists a smooth chart (u, θ) at p and a smooth chart (v, μ) at $F(p)$ such that $F(u) \subset v$ and

$$\mu \circ F \circ \theta^{-1} \text{ is smooth map from } M \text{ into } N.$$

Example 4.13: Any open subset V of a pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$ is also a pseudo manifold. If $\{(u_\delta, \theta_\delta)\}$ is a smooth atlas for M , then $\{(u_\delta \cap V, \theta_\delta|_{u_\delta \cap V})\}_{\delta \in I}$ is a smooth atlas for V , where $\theta_\delta|_{u_\delta \cap V}: u_\delta \cap V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ denotes the restriction of θ_δ to the subset $u_\delta \cap V$.

Lemma 4.14: Let M be a pseudo topological manifold.

- 1- Every \tilde{C}^∞ atlas for M is contained in a unique maximal \tilde{C}^∞ atlas.
- 2- Two \tilde{C}^∞ atlases for a pseudo manifold of class $\tilde{C}^\infty M$ determine the same maximal \tilde{C}^∞ atlas iff their union is a \tilde{C}^∞ atlas.

Proof: 1- Let \mathcal{A} be a \tilde{C}^∞ atlas for M , and let A denote the set of all charts that are smoothly compatible with every chart in \mathcal{A} . To show that A is a

smooth atlas, we need to show that any two charts of A are compatible with

each other, which is to say that for any $(u, \theta), (v, \varphi) \in A$,

$$\varphi \circ \theta^{-1}: \theta(u \cap v) \rightarrow \varphi(u \cap v) \text{ is smooth. Let } x = \theta(p) \in \theta(u \cap v) \text{ be arbitrary.}$$

Because the domains of the charts in \mathcal{A} cover M , there is some chart $(w, \pi) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $p \in w$. Since every chart in A is smoothly compatible with (w, π) , both the maps $\pi \circ \theta^{-1}$ and $\varphi \circ \pi^{-1}$ are smooth where they are defined. Since, $p \in u \cap v \cap w$, it follows that $\varphi \circ \theta^{-1} = (\varphi \circ \pi^{-1}) \circ (\pi \circ \theta^{-1})$ is smooth on a neighborhood of x . Thus $\varphi \circ \theta^{-1}$ is smooth in a neighborhood of each point in $(u \cap v)$. Therefore A is a smooth atlas. Now to check that it is maximal, just note that any chart that is smoothly compatible with every chart in A must be smoothly compatible with every chart in \mathcal{A} , so it is already in A . This proves the existence of a maximal smooth atlas containing \mathcal{A} .

If B is any other maximal smooth atlas containing A , each of its charts is smoothly compatible with each chart in \mathcal{A} , so $B \subset A$. By maximality of B , $B = A$.

2- Let, $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ two \tilde{C}^∞ atlases for a pseudo manifold M ,

and let $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ be \tilde{C}^∞ atlas, since $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ then $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is maximal of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 .

The other direct: Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is \tilde{C}^∞ maximal atlas then every atlas on a pseudo manifold M is contain in $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$, from 1 the maximal \tilde{C}^∞ atlas is unique then $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ are specify the same maximal \tilde{C}^∞ atlas.

Lemma 4.15: Let $\{(u_i, \theta_i)\}$ is a \tilde{C}^∞ atlas for M . If $f: M \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a map such that $f \circ \theta_i^{-1}$ is smooth for each i , then f is also smooth.

Proof: We need to prove that $f \circ \theta_i^{-1}$ is smooth for any \tilde{C}^∞ chart (u, θ) on M . It suffices to show it is smooth in a neighborhood of each

point $x = \theta(x_0) \in \theta(u)$. For any $x_0 \in u$, there is a chart (u_i, θ_i) in the atlas whose domain contains x_0 . Since (u, θ) is smoothly compatible with (u_i, θ_i) , the transition map $\theta_i \circ \theta^{-1}$ is smooth on its domain of definition, which includes x . Thus $f \circ \theta^{-1} = (f \circ \theta_i^{-1}) \circ (\theta_i \circ \theta^{-1})$ is smooth in a neighborhood of x .

Lemma 4.16: Let M_1, M_2 and M_3 are any three-pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ . And if the two maps $\mathbb{F}: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\mathbb{G}: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ are smooth maps then, $\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F}$ is also smooth map.

Proof: Let (u, θ) and (v, ϑ) be any \tilde{C}^∞ -charts for M_1 and M_3 respectively. We need to show that $\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F}$ is smooth map (i.e. $\vartheta \circ (\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F}) \circ \theta^{-1}$ is smooth on its domain of definition, namely on $\theta(u \cap (\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F})^{-1}(v))$). For any point $x \in u \cap (\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F})^{-1}(v)$, there is a chart (z, μ) for M_2 such that $\mathbb{F}(x) \in z$. By smoothness of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} we get $\mu \circ \mathbb{F} \circ \theta^{-1}$ and $\vartheta \circ \mathbb{G} \circ \mu^{-1}$ are smooth on its domain of definition, and therefore $\vartheta \circ (\mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F}) \circ \theta^{-1} = (\vartheta \circ \mathbb{G} \circ \mu^{-1}) \circ (\mu \circ \mathbb{F} \circ \theta^{-1})$ is smooth.

Lemma 4.17: Let M_1, M_2 be any pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ , then the product space $M_1 \times M_2$ has smooth structure such that the projection maps $\pi_1: M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_1, \pi_2: M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ are smooth. $M_1 \times M_2$ is called the product pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ .

Proof: Let $\{(u_i, \theta_i)_{i \in I}\}, \{(v_j, \vartheta_j)_{j \in J}\}$ be smooth atlases for a two pseudo manifolds M_1, M_2 respectively. Then $\{(u_i \times v_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}\}$ is an open cover of $M_1 \times M_2$ and the coordinate smooth map $\theta_i \times \vartheta_j: u_i \times v_j \rightarrow \theta_i(u_i) \times \vartheta_j(v_j)$ define a smooth atlas. The compatibility and smoothness of structure are verified, hence $M_1 \times M_2$ is pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ .

Definition 4.18: $\mathbb{F}: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called a local diffeomorphism if every point $x \in M_1$ has a neighborhood u such that $\mathbb{F}(u)$ is open in M_2 and $\mathbb{F}: u \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(u)$ is a diffeomorphism.

Definition 4.19: Let M_1 and M_2 are connected pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ , a smooth covering map of class \tilde{C}^∞ , $\rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a smooth bijective map with the property that every $p \in M_2$ has a neighborhood u such that each component of $\rho^{-1}(u)$ is mapped diffeomorphically on to u by ρ , we will also say that u is evenly covered. The manifold M_2 is called the base of the covering, and M_1 is called a covering space of M_2 .

Definition 4.20: Let $\rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is any continuous map, a section of ρ is a continuous map $\sigma: M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ such that, $\rho \circ \sigma = Id_{M_2}$.

Definition 4.21: Let $\rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is any continuous map, a local section is a continuous map $\sigma: u \rightarrow M_1$ defined on some open set $u \subset M_2$ and satisfying the relation $\rho \circ \sigma = Id_u$.

Lemma 4.22: Let $\rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a smooth covering map. Every point of M_1 is in the image of a smooth local section of ρ . For any $y \in M_1$, there is a neighborhood u of $x = \rho(y)$ and a smooth local section $\sigma: u \rightarrow M_1$ such that $\sigma(x) = y$.

Proof: Let $u \subset M_2$ be an evenly covered neighborhood of x . If v is the component of $\rho^{-1}(u)$ containing y , then $\rho|_v: v \rightarrow u$ is a diffeomorphism (by hypothesis). It follows that $\sigma = (\rho|_v)^{-1}: u \rightarrow v$ is a smooth local section of ρ and such that, $\sigma(x) = y$.

Proposition 4.23: Suppose $\rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a covering map of class \tilde{C}^∞ and M_3 is any pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ . A map $F: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ is smooth if and only if $F \circ \rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_3$ is smooth:

Proof: The first direction is clear. Suppose conversely that $F \circ \rho$ is smooth and let $x \in M_2$ be arbitrary. By the preceding lemma, there is a neighborhood u of x and a smooth local section $\sigma: u \rightarrow M_1$, so that $\rho \circ \sigma = Id_u$. Then the restriction of F to u satisfies: $F|_u = F \circ Id_u = F \circ (\rho \circ \sigma) = (F \circ \rho) \circ \sigma$; which is a composition of smooth maps. Thus F is smooth on u . Since F is smooth in a neighborhood of each point, it is smooth.

Lemma 4.24: Let M and N be a pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ , and let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be a any map. If $\{(u_i, \theta_i)_{i \in I}\}, \{(v_j, \vartheta_j)_{j \in J}\}$ are \tilde{C}^∞ -atlases for a two pseudo manifolds M, N respectively, and if for each $i, j, \vartheta_j \circ F \circ \theta_i^{-1}$ is smooth on its domain of definition, then F is smooth.

Proof: Let $p \in M$, and let a two \tilde{C}^∞ charts $(u_i, \theta_i), (v_j, \vartheta_j)$ from the given atlases, such that $p \in u_i$ and $F(p) \in v_j$. From smoothness of $\vartheta_j \circ F \circ \theta_i^{-1}$, the set $u = F^{-1}(v_j) \cap u_i$ is open set in M , and $F(u) \subset v_j$. Hence the \tilde{C}^∞ charts $(u_i, \theta_i|_u), (v_j, \vartheta_j)$ satisfy the conditions required in the definition of smoothness.

Proposition 4.25: If M_2 is a pseudo manifold of class \tilde{C}^∞ and $\rho: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is any pseudo topological covering map, then M_1 has a smooth pseudo manifold structure such that F is a smooth covering map.

Proof: Let $p, q \in M_1$ such that, $p \neq q$. Suppose $\tau(m) \cap \tau(n) \neq \emptyset$ then there exists a filter $f \in \tau(m) \cap \tau(n)$, hence $f \downarrow_m$ and $f \downarrow_n$ on M_1 . Therefore $\rho(f) \downarrow_{\rho(m)}$ and $\rho(f) \downarrow_{\rho(n)}$, and since M_2 is separated then, $\rho(m) = \rho(n)$. But ρ is injective then it is contradiction. Hence, $\tau(m) \cap \tau(n) = \emptyset$. Thus M_1 is separated. Any point $p \in M_2$ has an evenly covered neighborhood u . Shrinking u if necessary, we may assume also that it is the domain of a coordinate map $\theta: u \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. Letting v be a component of $\rho^{-1}(u)$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \theta \circ \rho: \tilde{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, it is clear that $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})$ is a chart on M_2 . If two such charts $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\theta})$ and $(\tilde{v}, \tilde{\varphi})$. Overlap, the transition map can be written $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\theta}^{-1} = (\varphi \circ \rho|_{\tilde{u} \cap \tilde{v}}) \circ (\theta \circ \rho|_{\tilde{u} \cap \tilde{v}})^{-1} = \varphi \circ \rho|_{\tilde{u} \cap \tilde{v}} \circ (\rho|_{\tilde{u} \cap \tilde{v}})^{-1} \circ \theta^{-1} = \varphi \circ \theta^{-1}$. Which is smooth. Thus, the collection of all such charts defines a smooth structure on M_1 .

References:

1. Frölicher, A. and Walter B., 1966, “*Calculus in Vector Spaces Without Norm*,” Springer, Vol. 30. 158 p.
2. Averbuch, V., 2000,” *On Boundedly-Convex Functions on Pseudo-Topological Vector Spaces*,” International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 23(2), pp.141-151.
3. Gähler, S., Gähler, W., and Kneis, G., 1976,” *Completion of Pseudo-Topological Vector Spaces*,” Mathematics Unchristen, Vol.75(1), pp.185-206.
4. Harbi, Intesar and AL-Nafie Z. D, 2020, Metrizability of pseudo topological vector spaces,” (Forthcoming). Accepted in the 6th conference of Iraqi Al-Khwarizmi Society.
5. Harbi, Intesar and AL-Nafie Z. D., 2021,” New Approach of Differentiability Between T^z_{pVS} Spaces,” Accepted in the 1st International Conference on Advanced Research in Pure and Applied Science.